

Docket No: 3290.007US1  
Client Ref: GC566-2US

**In the United States Patent and Trademark Office  
U.S. Patent Application For**

**CHEMICALLY MODIFIED MUTANT SERINE  
HYDROLASES SHOW IMPROVED CATALYTIC ACTIVITY  
AND CHIRAL SELECTIVITY**

Inventor(s): **JOHN BRYAN JONES**, a citizen of the Canada, residing at 1275  
Seaforth Crescent, RR3, Lakefield, Ontario, Canada K01 2H0

**MICHAEL DICKMAN**, a citizen of Canada, residing at 209 La  
Verendrye Street, Winnipeg, Manitoba R2H 0B6

Assignee: Genencor International

Entity: Large

MAJESTIC, PARSONS, SIEBERT & HSUE P.C.  
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1100  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4106  
Tel: 415 248-5500  
Fax: 415 362-5418

# **CHEMICALLY MODIFIED MUTANT SERINE HYDROLASES SHOW IMPROVED CATALYTIC ACTIVITY AND CHIRAL SELECTIVITY**

## **CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

This application claims the benefit, under 35 U.S.C. §119, of U.S.  
5 Provisional Patent Applications Serial No: 60/107,758, filed on November 10, 1998, and  
Serial No: 60/113,061 filed on December 21, 1998, both of which are incorporated herein by  
reference in their entirety for all purposes.

## **STATEMENT AS TO RIGHTS TO INVENTIONS MADE UNDER FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT**

10 [ Not Applicable ]

## **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

### **Field of the Invention.**

This invention pertains to the field of serine hydrolases. In particular, this  
invention pertains to serine hydrolases that have been mutated to introduce one or more  
15 cysteines which are then chemically derivatized. These chemically modified mutants  
demonstrate altered enzymatic activity.

### **Background**

Enzymes are now widely accepted as useful catalysts in organic synthesis.  
However, natural wild-type enzymes do not accept all structures of synthetic chemical  
20 interest, nor do they always product the desired (*e.g.* enantiomerically pure) products  
necessary for synthesis. This potential limitation of the synthetic applicabilities of enzymes  
has been recognized and some progress has been made in altering their specificities in a  
controlled manner, *e.g.* using site-directed and random mutagenesis techniques of protein  
engineering. However, modifying enzyme properties by protein engineering has been  
25 generally limited to making natural amino acid replacements. Although molecular biological  
strategies for overcoming this restriction have recently been derived (Cornish *et al.* (1995)  
*Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 34: 621-633), these procedures are difficult to apply in most  
laboratories.

In contrast, controlled chemical modification of enzymes offers broad potential for facile and flexible modification of enzyme structure, thereby opening up extensive possibilities for controlled tailoring of enzyme specificity and activity. Changing enzyme properties by chemical modification has been explored previously with early reports  
 5 by the groups of Bender (*e.g.* Polgar *et al.* (1966) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 88: 3153-3154) and Koshland (*see, e.g.*, Neet *et al.* (1966) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA*, 56: 1606-1611) who created a thiosubtilisin by chemical transformation ( $\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{SH}$ ) of the active site serine residue of subtilisin BPN' to cysteine.

Interest in chemically produced artificial enzymes, including some with  
 10 synthetic potential was renewed by Wu (*see, e.g.*, Wu *et al.* (1989) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 111: 4514-4515), Bell *et al.* (1993) *Biochem.*, 32: 3754-3762), Peterson (*see, e.g.*, Peterson *et al.* (1995) *Biochem.*, 34: 6616-6620), and more recently Suckling (*see, e.g.*, Suckling *et al.* (1993) *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 3: 542-534).

U.S. Patent 5,208,158 describes chemically modified detergent enzymes  
 15 where one or more methionines have been mutated into cysteines. The cysteines are subsequently modified in order to confer upon the enzyme improved stability towards oxidative agents. Although improved stability is often a desirable property, it is also often desirable to alter other enzymatic properties (*e.g.* specificity, catalytic activity, stereoselectivity, *etc.*).

Many methods for improving the activity and enantioselectivity of hydrolases  
 20 have been investigated. They include extreme temperatures (Noritomi *et al.* (1996) *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 51: 95-99; Saka *et al.* (1997) *J. Org. Chem.* 62: 4906-4907; Ullmann *et al.* (1996) *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 7: 2047-2054; Holmberg *et al.* (1991) *Biotechnol. Lett.* 13: 323-326; Phillips (1992) *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 14: 417-419; Lam *et al.* (1986) *J. Org. Chem.* 51: 2047-2050), solvent engineering (Koskinen *et al.* (1996) *Enzymatic Reactions in Organic Media*, A.M., Blackie Academic and Professional, London; Gutman *et al.* (1995) *Adv Biochem Eng / Biotechnol* 52: 87-128; Griebenow and Klibanov (1997) *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 53: 351-362; Bonneau *et al.* (1993) *Bioorg. Chem.* 21: 431-438; structural variation of the substrate (Gupta and Kaslauskas (1993) *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*  
 25 4: 879-888; Sih *et al.* (1992) *Chirality* 4: 91-97), imprinting (Rich and Dordick, (1997) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 119: 3245-3252; Russell and Klibanov (1988) *J. Biol. Chem.* 263: 11624-11626.), lyoprotectants (Dabulis and Klibanov (1993) *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 41: 566-571;

Khmel'nitsky *et al.* (1994) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 116: 2647-2648), chemical modification (Scouten (1987) *Methods Enzymol.* 135: 30-78; Polgar and Bender (1966) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 88: 3153-3154; Wu and Hilvert, (1989) *Am. Chem. Soc.* 111: 4513-4514), site-directed mutagenesis (Wong *et al.* (1990) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 112: 945-953; Bonneau *et al.* (1991) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 113: 1026-1030; Zhong *et al.* (1991) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 113: 683-684; Estell *et al.* (1985) *J. Biol. Chem.* 260: 6518-6521; Sears and Wong (1996) *Biotechnol. Prog.*, 12: 423-433), and random mutagenesis (Reetz *et al.* (1997) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 36: 2830-2832; Chen and Arnold (1993) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 90: 5618-5622; Stemmer (1994) *Nature*, 370: 389-391). However, the chemical modification of mutant enzymes has been underused as a method for generating new hydrolases with novel properties (Gron *et al.* (1990) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 194: 897-901).

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

This invention provides unique chemically modified mutant enzymes (CMM) having improved stereoselectivity to a variety of substrates. In general, the mutants are serine hydrolases in which one or more amino acid residues (preferably residues in a subsite, *e.g.* S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>', or S<sub>2</sub>) are replaced with a cysteine where the cysteine is chemically modified by replacing the thiol hydrogen in the cysteine with a substituent group providing a thiol side chain comprising a moiety selected from the group consisting of a polar aromatic substituent, an alkyl amino group with a positive charge, a chiral substituent, a heterocyclic substituent, and a glycoside. Preferred serine hydrolases of this invention catalyze a transamidation or a transesterification reaction and in a most preferred embodiment is stereoselective in this catalysis. Particularly preferred serine hydrolases include alpha/beta serine hydrolases, a subtilisin type serine proteases, and chymotrypsin serine proteases, with subtilisin being a particularly preferred serine protease.

Preferred amino acids selected for replacement with cysteine include asparagine, leucine, methionine, and serine. Preferred sites for replacement (*e.g.* in subtilisin type enzymes) include amino acid 156 in the S<sub>1</sub> subsite, amino acid 166 in the S<sub>1</sub> subsite, amino acid 217 in the S<sub>1</sub>' subsite, amino acid 222 in S<sub>1</sub>' subsite and amino acid 62 in the S<sub>2</sub> subsite. Preferred substituents include an oxazolidinone, a C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>15</sub> alkyl amino group with a positive charge, and a glycoside (*e.g.*, a monosaccharide, a disaccharide, and an oligosaccharide comprising pentoses and hexoses) (*see, e.g.*, Figure 2). In one embodiment, preferred substituents include (R)-2-methoxy-2-phenyl-ethyl-thiol, (S)-2-methoxy-2-phenyl-

ethyl-thiol, (R)-2-hydroxy-2-phenyl-ethyl-thiol, (S)-2-hydroxy-2-phenyl-ethyl-thiol, N-(3'-thio-propyl)-2-oxazolidinone, N-(3'-thio-propyl)-(S)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone, N-(3'-thio-propyl)-(R)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone, N-(3'-thio-propyl)-(S)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone, N-(2'-thio-ethyl)-(R)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone, N-(2'-thio-ethyl)-(S)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone, N-(2'-thioethyl)-(R)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone, N-(2'-thio-ethyl)-(S)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone, N-(3'-thio)-(3aR-cis)-3,3a,8,8a-tetrahydro-2H-indeno[1,2-d]-oxazol-2-one, and N-(3'-thio)-(3aS-cis)-3,3a,8,8a-tetrahydro-2H-indeno[1,2-d]-oxazol-2-one.

In another embodiment, this invention provides a chemically modified mutant subtilisin. The modified subtilisin has one or more amino acid residues selected from the S1, S1', or S2 subsites replaced with a cysteine, where the cysteine is modified by replacing the thiol hydrogen in the cysteine with a substituent group providing a thiol side chain comprising a moiety selected from the group consisting of a polar aromatic substituent, an alkyl amino group with a positive charge, an alkyl group bearing a negatively charged moiety, and a glycoside. Particularly preferred cysteine substitution(s) are at amino acid 62, amino acid 156, amino acid 166, amino acid 217, and amino acid 222. Preferred substituents are as described above and herein.

This invention also provides a method of forming a peptide bond. The methods preferably involve contacting a compound comprising an ester substrate with a serine hydrolase and/or a chemically modified mutant subtilisin as described herein and a primary amine under conditions whereby the hydrolase or modified subtilisin the formation of a peptide bond. A preferred ester substrate is an acyl donor and a primary amine is an acyl acceptor (*e.g.* an amino acid amide). Where the acyl acceptor is an amino acid amide the amino acid can be a D or an L amino acid and can optionally be present in a peptide. The ester substrate can be a D or an L amino acid ester and can optionally be present in a peptide.

In still another embodiment, this invention provides methods of resolving racemic primary and secondary alcohols using a transesterification reaction. These methods involve contacting the racemic primary or secondary alcohol with a serine hydrolase and/or a modified mutant subtilisin as described herein and an acyl donor whereby said serine hydrolase catalyzes a transesterification reaction resolving the racemic primary or secondary alcohol. Preferred primary or secondary alcohols include, but are not limited to, an aliphatic alcohol, an aromatic alcohol, and a heterocyclic alcohol. Particularly preferred primary or secondary alcohols include, but are not limited to 2-phenyl-1-propanol, 2-methyl-1-pentanol, and 2 octanol. Preferred acyl donors include, but are not limited to carboxylic acid esters

(*e.g.*, including but not limited to alkyl, aralkyl such as benzyl, esters) and activated esters(*e.g.*, mono-, and/or di-, and/or tri-haloalkyl). Particularly preferred modified mutant enzymes include, but are not limited to L217C-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, N62C- (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and N62C-S-CH<sub>3</sub>.

5 In still another embodiment this invention provides methods of attaching a chiral moiety to a substrate via a transamidation, a transesterification, or a transpeptidation reaction. These methods involve contacting a substrate (*e.g.*, a peptide, an amino acid, *etc.*) having a reactive site suitable for a transesterification or a tansamidation, and the moiety with a catalytic serine hydrolase as described herein whereby the chiral moiety is covalently  
10 coupled to the substrate. Preferred chiral moieties include, but are not limited to D amino acids, L-amino acids, acyclic aliphatics, a cyclic aliphatics, aralkyl R-carboxylic acids, aralkyl S-carboxylic acids, aromatic R-carboxylic acids, and aromatic S-carboxylic acids. In particularly preferred embodiments, the reaction is preferential for a moiety of one chirality. Particularly where the reaction is a transesterification the transesterification preferably  
15 results in an enantiomerically biased product.

This invention also provides methods of incorporating an amino acid into a polypeptide. These methods involve contacting an amino acid ester with a catalytic serine protease as described herein and an amino acid primary amine under conditions whereby the serine hydrolase catalyzes the formation of a peptide bond between the amino acid of the  
20 amino acid ester and the amino acid of the amino acid amine. Preferred amino acid esters are acyl donors and preferred amino acid amines are acyl acceptor(s). The amino acid amide can be a D or an L amino acid amide and may optionally be present in a peptide. Similarly, the amino acid ester may be a D or an L amino acid ester and may optionally be present in a peptide.

25 Also provided are methods of producing a chemically modified mutated serine hydrolase. These methods preferably involve providing a serine hydrolase wherein one or more amino acids have been replaced with cysteine residues; and replacing the thiol hydrogens in the cysteine residues with a substituent group providing a thiol side chain comprising a moiety selected from the group consisting of consisting of a polar aromatic  
30 substituent, an alkyl amino group with a positive charge, and a glycoside. Particularly preferred hydrolases include, but are not limited to alpha/beta serine proteases, subtilisin type serine proteases, and chymotrypsin serine proteases with subtilisins being most preferred serine hydrolases. The amino acid replaced with a cysteine preferably amino acid in the S1,

SI', or S2 subsite (e.g., subtilisin residues 156, 166, 217, 222, and 62) and/or preferably an asparagine, a leucine, a methionine, and a serine. Particularly preferred substituents are as described herein. The methods may further involve screening the modified serine hydrolase for an activity selected from the group consisting of a transesterification activity, a  
5 transamidation activity, and a transpeptidation activity. The screens may optionally include a screen for stereoselectivity.

### **DEFINITIONS**

The terms "polypeptide", "peptide" and "protein" are used interchangeably herein to refer to a polymer of amino acid residues. The terms apply to amino acid polymers  
10 in which one or more amino acid residue is an artificial chemical analogue of a corresponding naturally occurring amino acid, as well as to naturally occurring amino acid polymers. The term may also include variants on the traditional peptide linkage joining the amino acids making up the polypeptide.

The term "residue" as used herein refers to natural, synthetic, or modified  
15 amino acids.

The terms enzyme includes proteins that are capable of catalyzing chemical changes in other substances without being permanently changed themselves. The enzymes can be wild-type enzymes or variant enzymes. Enzymes within the scope of the present invention include, but are not limited to, pullulanases, proteases, cellulases, amylases,  
20 isomerases, lipases, oxidases, oxidoreductases, hydrolases, aldolases, ketolases, glycosidases, oxidoreductases, hydrolases, aldolases, ketolases, glycosidases, lyases, ligases, transferases, and ligases.

A "mutant enzyme" is an enzyme that has been changed by replacing an amino acid residue with a cysteine (or other) residue.

25 A "chemically modified" enzyme is an enzyme that has been derivatized to bear a substituent not normally found at that location in the enzyme.

A "chemically modified mutant enzyme" or "CMM" is an enzyme in which an amino acid residue has been replaced with another amino acid residue (preferably a cysteine) and the replacement residue is chemically derivatized to bear a substituent not  
30 normally found on that residue.

The term "thiol side chain group", "thiol containing group", and thiol side chain" are terms that can be used interchangeably and include groups that are used to replace

the thiol hydrogen of a cysteine. Commonly the thiol side chain group includes a sulfur atom through which the thiol side chain group is attached to the thiol sulfur of the cysteine. The "substitutent" typically refers to the group remains attached to the cysteine through a disulfide linkage formed by reacting the cysteine with a methanesulfonate reagent as

5 described herein. While the term substitutent preferably refers just to the group that remains attached (excluding its thiol group), the substituent can also refer to the entire thiol side chain group. The difference will be clear from the context.

The "binding site of an enzyme" consists of a series of subsites across the surface of the enzyme. The substrate residues that correspond to the subsites are labeled P and the subsites are labeled S. By convention, the subsites are labeled S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub>, S<sub>4</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>', and

10 S<sub>2</sub>'. A discussion of subsites can be found in Siezen *et al.* (1991) *Protein Engineering*, 4: 719-737, and Fersht (1985) *Enzyme Structure and Mechanism*, 2nd ed. Freeman, New York, 29-30. The preferred subsites include S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>', and S<sub>2</sub>.

The terms "stereoselectivity" or "stereoselective" when used in reference to an

15 enzyme or to a reaction catalyzed by an enzyme refers to a bias in the amount or concentration of reaction products in favor of enantiomers of one chirality. Thus a stereoselective reaction or enzyme will produce reaction products that predominate in the "D" form over the "L" form (or "R" form over the "S" form) or conversely that predominate in the "L" form over the "D" form (or "S" form over the "R" form). The predominance of

20 one chirality is preferably a detectable predominance, more preferably a substantial predominance, and most preferably a statistically significant predominance (*e.g.* at a confidence level of at least 80%, preferably at least 90%, more preferably at least 95%, and most preferably at least 98%).

The phrase "amino acid ##" or "amino acid ## in the XX subsite" is intended

25 to include the amino acid at the referenced position (*e.g.* amino 156 of *B. lentus* subtilisin which is in the S<sub>1</sub> subsite) and the amino acids at the corresponding (homologous) position in related enzymes.

A "serine hydrolase" is a hydrolytic enzyme utilizing an active serine side chain to serve as a nucleophile in a hydrolytic reaction. This term includes native and

30 synthetic serine hydrolases as well as enzymes engineered to perform the reverse reaction, *e.g.*, for synthetic purposes.

The "alpha/beta serine hydrolases" are a family of serine hydrolyases based on structural homology to enzymes including wheat germ serine carboxypeptidase II (*see*,



e.g., Liao *et al.* (1992) *Biochemistry* 31: 9796-9812; Ollis *et al.* (1992) *Protein Engineering*, 5: 197-211).

The "subtilisin type serine proteases" refer to a family of serine hydrolyases based on structural homology to enzymes including subtilisin BPN' (Bott *et al.* (1988) *J. Biol. Chem.* 263: 7895-7906; Siezen and Leunissen (1997) *Protein Science* 6: 501-523). Subtilisins are bacterial or fungal proteases which generally act to cleave peptide bonds of proteins or peptides. As used herein, "subtilisin" means a naturally-occurring subtilisin or a recombinant subtilisin. A series of naturally-occurring subtilisins is known to be produced and often secreted by various microbial species. Amino acid sequences of the members of this series are not entirely homologous. However, the subtilisins in this series exhibit the same or similar type of proteolytic activity. This class of serine proteases shares a common amino acid sequence defining a catalytic triad which distinguishes them from the chymotrypsin related class of serine proteases. The subtilisins and chymotrypsin related serine proteases have a catalytic triad comprising aspartate, histidine and serine. In the subtilisin related proteases the relative order of these amino acids, reading from the amino to carboxy terminus, is aspartate-histidine-serine. In the chymotrypsin related proteases, the relative order, however, is histidine-aspartate-serine. Thus, subtilisin herein refers to a serine protease having the catalytic triad of subtilisin related proteases.

The "chymotrypsin serine protease family" refers to a family of serine hydrolyases based on structural homology to enzymes including gamma chymotrypsin (Birktoft and Blow (1972) *J. Molecular Biology* 68: 187-240).

The term "oxazolidinone" refers to a compound including an oxazolidine ring and containing a keto group.

The term "glycoside" refers to a group of organic compounds that can be resolved by hydrolysis into sugars and other organic substances (e.g. aglycones). Preferred glycosides are acetals that are derived from a combination of various hydroxy compounds with various sugars. They may be designaged individually as glucosides, mannosides, galactosides, etc. Preferred glycosides include, but are not limited to monosaccharides and oligosaccharides, including pentose and hexose saccharides, including glucose and mannose containing saccharides.

Resolving a racemic mixture refers to racemic primary and secondary alcohols resolving racemic primary and secondary alcohols

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 2 illustrates synthesis scheme 1; the modification of SBL mutants with chiral auxiliaries.

5 Figure 3 illustrates synthesis scheme 2; the synthesis of mandelate-based ligands.

Figure 4 illustrates synthesis scheme 3; the synthesis of oxazolidinone-based ligands.

Figure 5 illustrates synthesis scheme 4; the synthesis of indanol-based ligands.

10 Figure 6A illustrates a comparison of N62C CMM specificity constants.

Figure 6B illustrates a comparison of S166C CMM specificity constants.

Figure 6C illustrates a comparison of 217C CMM specificity constants.

Figure 7A illustrates the changes in esterase to amidase activity ratios in S166C CMMs.

15 Figure 7B illustrates the changes in esterase to amidase activity ratios in L217C CMMs.

Figure 8 illustrates a reaction scheme for the transesterification of *N*-acetyl-l-phenylalanine vinyl ester with an alcohol using a chemically modified mutant enzyme as a catalyst.

20

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

This invention provides chemically modified mutant enzymes (CMMs) that are capable of catalyzing transesterification and/or transamidation and/or transpeptidation reactions. Preferred modified enzymes of this invention maintain a high degree of stereoselectivity in the reaction.

25 The chemically modified mutant enzymes of this invention comprise a serine hydrolase in which one or more residues in one or more subsite(s) are mutated to a cysteine and the cysteine is derivatized (*e.g.* with a methanesulfonate reagent) to provide a substituent coupled in place of the thiol hydrogen on the cysteine. The site(s) of mutation and the substituents are selected to produce an enzyme that maintains a higher degree of

30 stereoselectivity than the wild type enzyme in a transesterification, transamidation, or transpeptidation reaction.

The mutant enzymes are usefully in a wide variety of contexts including, but not limited to peptide synthesis, transesterification, resolution of enantiomers via stereoselective catalysis of racemic esters or amides and related groups, detergents and other cleaning materials, textile treatments, feed additives, and the like. Because of their stereoselectivity, the mutant enzymes are particularly useful as reagents that catalyze steps in organic syntheses. If desired, the mutant enzymes produce an enantiomerically purer reaction product and, in certain preferred embodiments, can be used to catalyze reactions that are otherwise difficult. Thus, for example, in one embodiment the enzymes can be used to catalyze a transamidation reaction where a "D" amino acid is coupled to an "L" amino acid. To facilitate such transamidation reactions, in certain preferred embodiment, the modified enzyme has high esterase and low amidase activity..

#### **I. Production of mutant enzymes for chemical modification.**

##### **A) Selection of enzymes for modification.**

Preferred enzymes for modification according to this invention include the serine hydrolases. The serine hydrolases are a class of hydrolytic enzymes characterized by a hydrolytic enzymes that posses a catalytic triad composed of a serine, histidine and a carboxylate amino acid (either aspartic or glutamic acid), and which catalyze the hydrolysis, and microscopic reverse reactions thereof, of carboxylic acid derivatives including, but not restricted to, esters, peptides and amides.

Preferred serine hydrolases comprising this invention include the trypsin-chymotrypsin proteases, the subtilisin proteases, and the alpha/beta hydrolases. In a particularly preferred embodiment the enzyme is protease, more preferably a subtilisin (e.g. a *Bacillus lentis* subtilisin). The subtilisins are alkaline serine proteases that are finding increasing use in biocatalysis, particularly in chiral resolution, regioselective acylation of polyfunctional compounds, peptide coupling, and glycopeptide synthesis. The latter two applications are of particular interest because they provide an alternative to site-directed mutagenesis for introducing unnatural amino acids into proteins.

Other particularly preferred serine hydrolases for use in this invention include, but are not limited to Rick to provideall serine hydrolase including enzymes that belong to the subtilisin class (subtilases),  $\alpha/\beta$  hydrolases or trypsin/chymotrypsin families of structurally serine hydrolase enzymes.

**B) Selection of residues for modification.**

In a preferred embodiment, residues for modification in the serine hydrolase are rationally selected. Particularly preferred amino acid residues selected for modification include residues expected to be important discriminatory sites within the subsites. Such  
 5 residues are determined from mutagenesis experiments where the subsite residues are systematically mutagenized and the effect of such mutagenesis on binding specificity and/or enzymatic activity is determined. In addition, important residues can be identified from inspection of crystal structures and/or from predicted protein folding or protein-protein  
 10 interactions determined using protein modeling software (*e.g.*, Quanta (Molecular Simulations Inc.) and Frodo (academic software)). Side chains situated to alter interaction at subsites defined by Berger and Schechter can be selected based on the crystallographic models of the enzymes and extrapolated to homologous enzymes if necessary if structural information on a specific enzyme is unavailable. In *B. lentus* subtilisin sites 156, 166, 217  
 15 and 222 are important substrate specificity determining sites. These along with site 62 identified specifically for this study are exemplified. Additional related sites include position 96, 104, 107, 189 and 209 in subtilisin and homologous positions in related enzymes.

Typically residues are selected where introduction of a substituent, which can be, but is not restricted to being, small, bulky, hydrophobic or hydrophilic, or charged, is  
 20 expected to change the conformation of the binding site. In preferred embodiments, such residues typically lie in the S1, S1', or S2 subsites although it will be appreciated that in certain cases, alteration of residues in other subsites can also produce dramatic effects.

In one particularly preferred embodiment, where the serine hydrolase is a subtilisin-type serine hydrolase, preferred residues for mutation include, but are not limited to  
 25 residues 156 and 166 in the S1 subsite, residues 217 and 222 in the S1' subsite and residue 62 in the S2 subsite Leu96, Val104, Ile107, Phe189 and Tyr209 or residues at homologous positions within the subsites of other subtilisin-type serine proteases.

In another preferred embodiment, where the serine hydrolase is a trypsin-chymotrypsin type serine hydrolase, preferred residues for mutation include Tyr94, Leu99,  
 30 Gln175, Asp189, Ser190 and Gln192 of trypsin or residues at homologous positions within the subsites of other trypsin-chymotrypsin-type serine proteases.

In still another preferred embodiment, where the serine hydrolase is an alpha/beta serine hydrolase, preferred residues for mutation include Trp104, Thr138, Leu144, Val154, Ile189, Ala 225, Leu278 and Ile185 of *Candida antartica* lipase (Protein Data Bank entry 1tca) or residues at homologous positions within the subsites of other  
 5 alpha/beta type serine hydrolases.

Preferably the amino acids replaced in the enzyme by cysteines are selected from the group consisting of asparagine, leucine, methionine, or serine. More preferably the amino acid to be replaced is located in a subsite of the enzyme preferably the S1, S1' or S2 subsites. More preferably, in a subtilisin the amino acids to be replaced are N62, L217,  
 10 M222, S156, S166, site 104, site 107 (S4), site 96 (S2), site 189(S2'), and site 209 (S1'/S3') or their homologues where the numbered position corresponds to naturally occurring subtilisin from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* or to equivalent amino acid residues in other subtilisins such as *Bacillus lentus* subtilisin.

### **C) Introduction of cysteine.**

15 The substitution of a cysteine for one or more native residue(s) in the serine hydrolase can be accomplished using routine methods well known to those of ordinary skill in the art. In one preferred embodiment, the mutants described herein are most efficiently prepared by site-directed mutagenesis of the DNA encoding the wild-type enzyme of interest (*e.g. Bacillus lentis* subtilisin). Techniques for performing site-directed mutagenesis or non-  
 20 random mutagenesis are known in the art. Such methods include, but are not limited to alanine scanning mutagenesis (Cunningham and Wells (1989) *Science*, 244, 1081-1085), oligonucleotide-mediated mutagenesis (Adellman *et al.* (1983) *DNA*, 2, 183), cassette mutagenesis (Wells *et al.* (1985) *Gene*, 344: 315) and binding mutagenesis (Ladner *et al.* WO 88/06630).

25 In one embodiment of the present invention, the substitute amino acid residue (*e.g. cysteine*) is introduced to the selected target site by oligonucleotide-mediated mutagenesis using the polymerase chain reaction technique. In this approach, the gene encoding the desired native enzyme (*e.g. subtilisin*) is carried by a suitable plasmid. More preferably, the plasmid is an expression vector, *e.g.*, a plasmid from the pBR, pUC, pUB,  
 30 pET or pHY4 series. The plasmid can be chosen by persons skilled in the art for convenience or as desired.

For site-directed mutagenesis, the fragment containing the selected mutation site is cleaved from the gene encoding the subject enzyme by restriction endonucleases is used as the template in a modified PCR technique (*see, Higuchi et al. (1988) Nucleic Acid Res.*, 16, 7351-7367). For each target substitution, an oligonucleotide containing the desired mutation is used as a mismatch primer to initiate chain extension between 5' and 3' PCR flanking primers. The process includes two PCR reactions. In the first PCR, the mismatch primer and the 5' primer are used to generate a DNA fragment containing the desired base substitution. The fragment is separated from the primers by electrophoresis. After purification, it is then used as the new 5' primer in a second PCR with the 3' primer to generate the complete fragment containing the desired base substitution. After confirmation of the mutation by sequencing, the mutant fragment is then inserted back to the position of the original fragment.

In another approach, a cassette mutagenesis method may be used to facilitate the construction and identification of the cysteine mutants of the present invention. First, the gene encoding the serine hydrolase is obtained and sequenced in whole or in part. Then the point(s) at which it is desired to make a mutation of one or more amino acids in the expressed enzyme are identified. The sequences flanking these points are evaluated for the presence of restriction sites for replacing a short segment of the gene with an oligonucleotide which when expressed will encode the desired mutants. Such restriction sites are preferably unique sites within the serine hydrolase gene so as to facilitate the replacement of the gene segment. However, any convenient restriction site which is not overly redundant in the hydrolase gene may be used, provided the gene fragments generated by restriction digestion can be reassembled in proper sequence. If restriction sites are not present at locations within a convenient distance from the selected point (*e.g.*, from 10 to 15 nucleotides), such sites are generated by substituting nucleotides in the gene in such a fashion that neither the reading frame nor the amino acids encoded are changed in the final construction. The task of locating suitable flanking regions and evaluating the needed changes to arrive at two convenient restriction site sequences is made routine by the redundancy of the genetic code, a restriction enzyme map of the gene and the large number of different restriction enzymes. Note that if a convenient flanking restriction site is available, the above method need be used only in connection with the flanking region which does not contain a site.

Mutation of the gene in order to change its sequence to conform to the desired sequence is accomplished *e.g.*, M13 primer extension in accord with generally known

methods. Once the gene is cloned, the restriction sites flanking the sequence to be mutated are digested with the cognate restriction enzymes and the end termini-complementary oligonucleotide cassette(s) are ligated into the gene. The mutagenesis is enormously simplified by this method because all of the oligonucleotides can be synthesized so as to have the same restriction sites, and no synthetic linkers are necessary to create the restriction sites.

A suitable DNA sequence computer search program simplifies the task of finding potential 5' and 3' convenient flanking sites. In preferred embodiments, any mutation introduced in creation of the restriction site(s) are silent to the final construction amino acid coding sequence. For a candidate restriction site 5' to the target codon a sequence preferably exists in the gene that contains at least all the nucleotides but for one in the recognition sequence 5' to the cut of the candidate enzyme. For example, the blunt cutting enzyme SmaI (CCC/GGG) would be a good 5' candidate if a nearby 5' sequence contained NCC, CNC, or CCN. Furthermore, if N needed to be altered to C this alteration preferably leaves the amino acid coding sequence intact. In cases where a permanent silent mutation is necessary to introduce a restriction site one may want to avoid the introduction of a rarely used codon. A similar situation of SmaI would apply for 3' flanking sites except the sequence NGG, GNG, or GGN must exist. The criteria for locating candidate enzymes is most relaxed for blunt cutting enzymes and most stringent for 4 base overhang enzymes. In general many candidate sites are available.

A particularly preferred method of introducing cysteine mutants into the enzyme of interest is illustrated with respect to the subtilisin gene from *Bacillus lentus* ("SBL"). In a preferred embodiment, the gene for SBL is cloned into a bacteriophage vector (e.g. M13mp19 vector) for mutagenesis (see, e.g. U.S. Patent 5,185,258). Oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis is performed according to the method described by Zoller *et al.* (1983) *Meth. Enzymol.*, 100: 468-500. The mutated sequence is then cloned, excised, and reintroduced into an expression plasmid (e.g. plasmid GG274) in the *B. subtilis* host. PEG (50%) is added as a stabilizer.

The crude protein concentrate thus obtained is purified by first passing through a Sephadex<sup>TM</sup> G-25 desalting matrix with a pH 5.2 buffer (e.g. 20 mM sodium acetate, 5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>) to remove small molecular weight contaminants. Pooled fractions from the desalting column are then applied to a strong cation exchange column (e.g. SP Sepharose<sup>TM</sup> FF) in the sodium acetate buffer described above and the SBL is eluted with a

one step gradient of 0-200 mM NaCl acetate buffer, pH 5.2. Salt-free enzyme powder is obtained following dialysis of the eluent against Millipore purified water and subsequent lyophilization.

5 The purity of the mutant and wild-type enzymes, which are denatured by incubation with a 0.1 M HCl at 0°C for 30 minutes is ascertained by SDS-PAGE on homogeneous gels (e.g. using the Phast™ system from Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden). The concentration of SBL is determined using the Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA) dye reagent kit which is based on the method of Bradford (1976) *Anal. Biochem.*, 72: 248-254). Specific activity of the enzymes is determined as described below and in the examples.

10 One of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the protocol described above can be routinely modified, if necessary, for use with other enzymes. Other protocols for site-directed modification of proteins are well know to those of skill in the art and can be found, for example, in U.S. Patents 5,932,419 and 5,789,166 *Circular site-directed mutagenesis*, 5,705,479 and 5,635,475 *Site-directed mutagenesis modified glycoprotein hormones and methods of use*, 5,556,747 *Method for site-directed mutagenesis*, 5,354,670 *Site-directed mutagenesis of DNA*, 5,352,779, *Site-directed mutagenesis modified DNA encoding glycoprotein hormones and methods of use*, 5,284,760 *Techniques for producing site-directed mutagenesis of cloned DNA*, and 5,071,743 *Process for conducting site-directed mutagenesis*.

20 In addition, kits for site-directed mutagenesis are commercially available (see, e.g. Transformer™ Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit available from Toyobo).

#### **D) Expression of the mutated enzyme.**

In a preferred embodiment, the mutated protein is expressed from a heterologous nucleic acid in a host cell. The expressed protein is then isolated and, if  
25 necessary, purified. The choice of host cell and expression vectors will to a large extent depend upon the enzyme of choice and its source.

A useful expression vector contains an element that permits stable integration of the vector into the host cell genome or autonomous replication of the vector in a host cell independent of the genome of the host cell, and preferably one or more phenotypic markers  
30 that permit easy selection of transformed host cells. The expression vector may also include control sequences encoding a promoter, ribosome binding site, translation initiation signal, and, optionally, a repressor gene, a selectable marker or various activator genes. To permit



the secretion of the expressed protein, nucleotides encoding a signal sequence may be inserted prior to the coding sequence of the gene. For expression under the direction of control sequences, a gene or cDNA encoding a mutated enzyme to be used according to the invention is operably linked to the control sequences in the proper reading frame.

5                    Vectors containing the mutant genes obtained by site-directed mutagenesis are then used respectively to transform suitable host cells and expressed. Suitable host cells include bacteria such as *E. coli* or *Bacillus*, yeast such as *S. cerevisiae*, mammalian cells such as mouse fibroblast cell, or insect cells. Preferably, a bacterial expression system is used. Most preferably, the host is *Bacillus*. Protein expression is performed by processes  
10 well known in the art according to factors such as the selected host cell and the expression vector to culture the transformed host cell under conditions favorable for a high-level expression of the foreign plasmid.

                    Methods of cloning and expression of peptides are well known to those of skill in the art. *See, for example*, Sambrook, *et al.* (1989) *Molecular Cloning: a Laboratory*  
15 *Manual* (2nd Ed., Vols. 1-3, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory), Berger and Kimmel (1987) *Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 152: Guide to Molecular Cloning Techniques*, Academic Press, Inc. San Diego, or Ausubel *et al.* (1987) *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, Greene Publishing and Wiley-Interscience, New York.

                    As indicated above, one particularly preferred expression system is plasmid  
20 GG274 which is then expressed in a *B. subtilis* host.

## **II. Chemical modification of mutant enzyme.**

### **A) Selection of substituents for modifying mutated residues.**

A wide variety of substituents can be used to modify the cysteine(s) introduced into the serine hydrolase. As indicated above, preferred substituents are those  
25 that improve stereoselectivity of the enzyme in a transesterification and/or a transamidation and/or a transpeptidation reaction. Preferred substituents are bulky (*e.g.* at least about 4-6 angstroms in one dimension and/or consisting of three or more atoms in a linear, cyclic or branched conformation), and/or hydrophobic, and/or charged.

In more preferred embodiments, the substituents include polar aromatic  
30 groups (*e.g.* derivatized benzenes such as fluorobenzene, chlorobenzene, derivatized 5 member rings, oxazolidinones, *etc.*). Other preferred substituents include alkyl amino

groups with a positive charge (e.g. C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>50</sub>, more preferably C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>30</sub> and most preferably C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>15</sub> alkyl amino groups with a positive charge) and glycosides (e.g. mono or oligosaccharides derived from pentoses and hexoses and derivatives thereof). Where transesterification activity is desired, particularly preferred embodiments include alkyl groups (e.g. C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>50</sub>, more preferably C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>30</sub> and most preferably C<sub>1</sub> to C<sub>15</sub> alkyl groups) bearing a negative charge (e.g. SO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and other sulfur acids, CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, and other acidic species including phosphorus acid moieties, etc.).

Where transamidation or transpeptidation activity is desired and/or where a high degree of chiral specificity is desired, particularly preferred substituents include polar aromatic groups, with oxazolidinones being most preferred. Typical oxazolidinones for use in this invention include, but are not limited to, (R)-2-methoxy-2-phenyl-ethyl-thiol, (S)-2-methoxy-2-phenyl-ethyl-thiol, (R)-2-hydroxy-2-phenyl-ethyl-thiol, (S)-2-hydroxy-2-phenyl-ethyl-thiol, N-(3'-thio-propyl)-2-oxazolidinone, N-(3'-thio-propyl)-(S)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone, N-(3'-thio-propyl)-(R)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone, N-(3'-thio-propyl)-(S)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone, N-(2'-thio-ethyl)-(R)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone, N-(2'-thio-ethyl)-(S)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone, N-(2'-thioethyl)-(R)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone, N-(2'-thioethyl)-(S)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone, N-(3'-thio)-(3aR-cis)-3,3a,8,8a-tetrahydro-2H-indeno[1,2-d]-oxazol-2-one, and N-(3'-thio)-(3aS-cis)-3,3a,8,8a-tetrahydro-2H-indeno[1,2-d]-oxazol-2-one.

Other particularly preferred embodiments include, but are not limited to, the substituents illustrated in Figure 2 and Other particularly preferred embodiments include, but are not limited to, the substituents illustrated in Figure 2 and any of the commonly available chiral auxiliaries and ligands applied in asymmetric synthesis.

#### **B) Coupling substituents to the cysteine.**

The R group on cysteines provides a convenient relatively reactive thiol group (-SH) that can be exploited for coupling a desired substituent to the cysteine. In a preferred embodiment, the substituent of interest is provided, derivatized as a methanethiosulfonate reagent which, when reacted with the cysteine, results in the substituent of interest covalently coupled to the cysteine by a disulfide linkage (-S-S-).

In a preferred embodiment, chemical modification with the methanethiosulfonate reagent(s) is carried out as described by Berglund *et al.* (1997) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 119: 5265-5255 and DeSantis *et al.* (1998) *Biochemistry*, 37: 5968-5973.

Briefly, 200  $\mu$ L of a 1 M solution of the methanethiosulfonate (MTS) reagent is added to a solution (5-10 mg/mL, 3.5 mL) of the cysteine mutant in 70 mM CHES, 5mM MES, 2 mM  $\text{CaCl}_2$ , pH 9.5. The MTS reagent is added in two portions over 30 minutes. Reaction mixtures are kept at 20°C with continuous end-over-end mixing. Reactions are monitored by following the specific activity (e.g. with suc-AAPF-pNA) and by tests for residual free thiol (e.g. with Ellman's reagent). Once the reaction is complete, the reaction mixture is loaded on a Sephadex<sup>TM</sup> PD-10 G25 column with 5 mM MES and 2 mM  $\text{CaCl}_2$ , pH 6.5. The protein fraction is then dialyzed against 1 mM  $\text{CaCl}_2$  and the dialysate is lyophilized.

In certain instances, where the substituent that is to be coupled to the cysteine, bears reactive groups the reactive groups may be derivatized with appropriate blocking/protecting groups to prevent undesired reactions during the coupling. Similarly, where the serine hydrolase contains one or more cysteines that are not to be derivatized, the thiol group(s) on these cysteines may be derivatized with appropriate protecting groups (e.g. benzyl, trityl, tert-butyl, MOM, acetyl, thiocarbonate, thiocarbamate, and others). The use of blocking/protecting groups is well know to those of skill in the art (see, e.g., *Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*" Theodora W. Greene and Peter G. M. Wuts Third Edition, Wiley-Interscience, Toronto, (1999), pp 454-493.)

### **III. Screening chemically modified mutants for desired activity.**

The chemically modified mutants are typically screened for the activity or activities of interest. Such activities include amidase activity, esterase activity, the ratio of amidase to esterase activity, stereoselectivity, transesterification, transamidation, transpeptidation, and the like. Assays for such activities are well known to those of skill in the art.

For example, assays for amidate and/or esterase activity can be rapidly performed on microtiter plates as described by Plettner *et al.* (1998) *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett*, 8: 2291-2296. In one preferred embodiment,  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_M$  is obtained in a microtiter plate format, from the rate of product formation ( $v$ ) using the limiting case of the Michaelis-Menten equation at low substrate concentration as an approximation (Equation 1 where  $[S]$  and  $[E]$  are the substrate and enzyme concentrations, respectively):  $V \approx (K_{\text{cat}}/K_M)[S][E]$  for  $[S] \ll K_M$ . Enzyme stock solutions are prepared in 5 mM 4-morpholineethanesulfonic acid (MES) with 2 mM  $\text{CaCl}_2$ , pH 6.5 at about  $5 \times 10^{-7}$  M for amidase and about  $5 \times 10^{-8}$  M for esterase assays. substrate solutions are prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). The

3290.007US1

amidase substrate sucAAPF-pNa stock is 1.6 mM which gives 0.8 mM in the well. The esterase substrate isosuccinyl-alanine-alanine-proline-phynylalanine-thiobenzyl ester (sucAAPF-SBn) stock solution is 1.0 mM, which gives 0.05 mM in the well. Assays are carried out in 0.1 M tris hydroxymethylaminomethane (Tris) pH 8.6 with 0.005 % Tween.

- 5 Tris buffer for the esterase assay contains 0.375 mM DTNB. This buffer should be used immediately as the DTNB decomposes within a few hours due to the high pH of the buffer.

- A sample of each enzyme solution (~150  $\mu$ L) is placed in a well in the 1st, 5th, or 9th column of an enzyme loading plate. Rows A to g contain enzymes, and row H contains MES buffer. ON a separate assay plate (Corning, flat bottom, 96-well), 10  $\mu$ L of substrate solution and 180  $\mu$ L of buffer are dispensed into wells along columns to be used in a run. Columns 1-4 on the assay plate contain four replicates of the enzymes in column 1 of the loading plate; columns 5-8 contain four replicates of the enzymes in column 5 of the loading plate.

- Reactions are initiated by transferring 10  $\mu$ L of enzyme solution from the loading plate to the assay plate with an 8-channel pipette. For amidase assays, four columns are initiated for one run. For esterase assays, two columns are initiated for a run. The time delay between addition of enzyme to the first column and onset of reading is about 22-30 seconds (amidase) and 10-15 seconds (esterase). Immediately after initiation the plate is placed on a Titertech Multiscan MCC340 reader (programmed in the kinetic mode, filter 414 nm, lag time 0.0 minutes, interval 5 seconds with automatic background subtraction of blank row H) (Labsystems, Finland) and is read for 1.0 minute (amidase) or 30 seconds (esterase). Prolonged reading, past the nearly linear part of the progress curve ) up to ~50% conversion) provides an underestimate of the rate. The output from the reader represents the average rate of change in absorbance at 414 nm  $\text{min}^{-1}$ , measured at 5 second intervals, of the total time programmed. These data are converted to rates in  $\text{MS}^{-1}$  using the extinction coefficients for p-nitroanilide and for 3-carboxylate-4-nitrothiophenolate (e.g.,  $\epsilon_{414} = 8581 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$  for p-nitroanilide and  $\epsilon_{414} = 8708 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ ). Both extinction coefficients are determined on the reader using the same conditions and background subtraction as in the assay. The rates are corrected for active enzyme concentration and the four replicates for each enzyme are averaged.

It will be appreciated that the foregoing protocol is exemplary and not limiting and numerous modifications and variants can be performed with only routine experimentation by one of ordinary skill in the art.

In certain embodiments, other catalytic activities are assayed (*e.g.* transamidation, transpeptidation, transesterification). In addition, in certain embodiments, substrate specificity and/or stereoselectivity is also determined.

Such assays can be performed using routine methods. Thus, for example, transesterification or transamidation activities can be determined as described in the examples. Similarly stereoselectivity can be determined according to a number of methods known to those of skill in the art. In one embodiment, stereoselectivity is determined by using stereoselective liquid or gas chromatographic procedures (*e.g.*, using Chiralcel columns, Daicel Chemical Industries, Ltd.) as described in the examples.

Production of chemically modified mutant enzymes and screening for particular activities of such modified enzymes is amenable to high throughput methodologies. Typically such methodologies utilize robotics to automate and speed the production and screening of large numbers of compounds. In efficient high throughput screening system, typically hundreds of thousands of reactants/reactions can be screened in a few days with only routine operator involvement. High throughput screening systems are commercially available (*see, e.g.*, Zymark Corp., Hopkinton, MA; Air Technical Industries, Mentor, OH; Beckman Instruments, Inc. Fullerton, CA; Precision Systems, Inc., Natick, MA, etc.). These systems typically automate entire procedures including all sample and reagent pipetting, liquid dispensing, timed incubations, and final readings of the microplate in detector(s) appropriate for the assay. These configurable systems provide high throughput and rapid start up as well as a high degree of flexibility and customization. The manufacturers of such systems provide detailed protocols the various high throughput. Thus, for example, Zymark Corp. provides technical bulletins describing screening systems for detecting the modulation of gene transcription, ligand binding, and the like.

#### **IV. Uses of the CMMs of this invention.**

As shown in Figure 1, subtilisins can catalyze peptide bond formation starting from an ester substrate, by first forming an acyl enzyme intermediate which then reacts with a primary amine to form the peptide product. In this embodiment, preferred enzymes have high esterase activity to promote acyl enzyme formation and then low amidase activity to

minimize hydrolysis of the peptide bond of the desired product. Generally subtilisins do not meet this requirement and in one embodiment the improvement of the esterase to amidase selectivities of subtilisins is one feature of the present invention.

Another particularly preferred feature of this invention, is the improved stereoselectivity obtained with the modified mutant enzymes. As indicated in the Examples the modified mutant enzymes can be utilized to resolve racemic alcohols and to stereoselectively acylate prochiral and meso diols.

The stereoselective modified enzymes of this invention can also be used to catalyze the formation of peptide linkages with particular chiral moieties. In particular, the coupling of D amino acids in peptide synthesis protocols has proven problematic. The modified enzymes of this invention provide a convenient and efficient mechanism to preferentially couple a D- or an L- amino acid to an individual amino acid or to an amino acid present in a polypeptide.

Enzymatic peptide coupling is an attractive method for preparation of a variety of peptides because this method requires minimal protection of the substrate, proceeds under mild conditions, and does not cause racemization (Wong *et al.* (1994) pages 41-130 In: *Enzymes in Synthetic Organic Chemistry*, Pergamon Press, Oxford). As indicated above, the chemically modified mutant enzymes of this invention can incorporate D-amino acid esters as acyl donors in peptide synthesis or an  $\alpha$ -branched amino acid amide as acyl acceptor in peptide synthesis to give a variety of dipeptides. These reaction are not possible with the wild-type enzymes.

Therefore the modified enzymes of the present invention can be used in organic synthesis to, for example, catalyze a desired reaction and/or to favor a certain stereoselectivity.

Of course the modified enzymes of this invention can also be utilized in more "traditional" applications. Thus, for example, the modified enzymes of this invention (*e.g.* in particular the proteases and/or lipases) can be formulated into known powdered and liquid detergents having a pH between 6.5 and 12.0 at levels of about 0.01 to about 5%, preferably about 0.1% to about 0.5%, by weight. These detergent cleaning compositions or additives can also include other enzymes such as known proteases, amylases, cellulases, lipases or endoglycosidases as well as builders and stabilizers.

In particularly preferred embodiments, the modified subtilisins are used in formulating various detergent compositions. A number of known compounds are suitable surfactants useful in such detergent compositions. /These include nonionic, anionic, cationic, anionic, or zwitterionic detergents (*see, e.g.*, U.S. Patents 4,404,128, and 4.261,868). A suitable detergent formulation is that described in example 7 of U.S. Patent 5,204,015. The modified enzymes of this invention may provide improved was performance in a detergent composition (as compared to previously known additives). Improves wash performance typically refers to increased cleaning of certain modified enzyme-sensitive stains such as grass or blood, as determined by a standard evaluation procedure (*e.g.* light reflectance) after a standard wash cycle.

The art is familiar with the different formulations that can be used as cleaning compositions. In addition to typical compositions, it is readily understood that the modified enzymes of the present invention may be used for any purpose that the native or wild-type enzymes are used. Thus, these modified enzymes can be used, for example, in bar or liquid soap applications, dish care formulations, contact lens cleaning solutions or products, peptide synthesis, feed applications such as feed additives or preparation of feed additives, waste treatment, textile application such as the treatment of fabrics, and as fusion-cleavage enzymes in protein production.

In another preferred embodiment, the modified enzymes of this invention are used in a method of treating a textile. The methods involve contacting a chemically modified mutant enzyme of this invention with a textile under conditions effective to produce a textile resistant to certain enzyme-sensitive stains (*e.g.* grass or blood stains). The method can be used to treat, for example, silk or wool. Enzyme treatments of such fabrics are know to those of skill in the art and are described for example in Research Disclosure 216,034, European Patent application No: 134,267, U.S. patent 4,533,359, and European Patent application 3244,259.

In still another embodiment, the modified enzymes of this invention are used in the preparation of an animal feed, for example, a cereal-based feed. The enzyme can be incorporated into essentially any cereal feed, *e.g.* a cereal comprising one or more of wheat, barley, maize, sorghum, rye, oats, triticale, and rice. Although the cereal component of a cereal-based feed constitutes a source of protein, it is usually necessary to include species of supplementary protein in the feed such as those derived form fish meal, meat, or vegetables.

Sources of vegetable proteins include, but are not limited to soybeans, rape seeds, canola, soybean meal, rapeseed meal, and canola meal.

The inclusion of a modified enzyme in an animal feed can enable the crude protein value and/or the digestibility and/or the amino acid content of the feed to be increased. This permits a reduction in the amounts of alternative protein sources and/or amino acid supplements that are added to the feed.

The foregoing description of uses for the modified mutant enzymes of this invention is illustrative and not intended to create any special use limitation. One will appreciate that the uses of the enzymes of this invention are myriad and not to be confined to the uses enumerated herein.

#### **V. Kits and products containing chemically modified mutants.**

In still another embodiment, this invention provides kits for synthesizing and/or screening modified mutants of this invention. Such kits preferably include one or more mutant serine hydrolases having one or more amino acid residues substituted with a cysteine as described herein. The kits may additionally include one or more methane sulfonate reagents as described herein that can be used to derivatized the mutant serine hydrolase. Such kits may additionally include one or more reagents and/or apparatus for performing such derivitizations.

In addition, the kits can include appropriate substrates and/or reactants for screening the chemically modified mutant enzyme for one or more desired activities as described herein.

In another embodiment this invention provides kits for the use of the modified mutant enzymes described herein. Such kits preferably contain one or more containers containing one or more of the chemically modified mutant serine hydrolases as described herein. Such kits can also include appropriate reagents and/or substrates to use the modified enzymes in one or more of the reactions described herein.

In addition, the kits may include instructional materials containing directions (*i.e.*, protocols) for the practice of the syntheses, uses or assay methods described herein. Thus, for example, in one preferred embodiment, the instructional materials provide protocols derivatizing the mutant enzyme with one or more of the methane sulfonate reagents described herein. In another embodiment, the instructional materials may provide protocols describing the use of the modified enzyme in catalyzing formation of a peptide



bond. While the instructional materials typically comprise written or printed materials they are not limited to such. Any medium capable of storing such instructions and communicating them to an end user is contemplated by this invention. Such media include, but are not limited to electronic storage media (e.g., magnetic discs, tapes, cartridges, chips), optical media (e.g., CD ROM), and the like. Such media may include addresses to internet sites that provide such instructional materials.

### EXAMPLES

The following examples are offered to illustrate, but not to limit the claimed invention.

**Example 1: Covalent modification of subtilisin *Bacillus lentus* cysteine mutants with enantiomerically pure chiral auxiliaries causes remarkable changes in activity**

Methanethiosulfonate reagents may be used to introduce virtually unlimited structural modifications in enzymes *via* reaction with the thiol group of cysteine. The covalent coupling of enantiomerically pure (*R*) and (*S*) chiral auxiliary methanethiosulfonate ligands to cysteine mutants of subtilisin *Bacillus lentus* induces spectacular changes in catalytic activity between diastereomeric enzymes. Amidase and esterase kinetic assays using a low substrate approximation were used to establish  $k_{cat} / K_M$  values for the chemically modified mutants, and up to 3 fold differences in activity were found between diastereomeric enzymes. Changing the length of the carbon chain linking the phenyl or benzyl oxazolidinone ligand to the mutant N62C by a methylene unit reverses which diastereomeric enzyme is more active. Similarly, changing from a phenyl to benzyl oxazolidinone ligand at S166C reverses which diastereomeric enzyme is more active. Chiral modifications at S166C and L217C give CMMs having both high esterase  $k_{cat} / K_M$ 's and high esterase to amidase ratios with large differences between diastereomeric enzymes.

In this example, we illustrate changes in enzyme catalysis induced by the covalent attachment of enantiomerically pure MTS ligands derived from chiral auxiliaries to cysteine mutants of SBL (Scheme 1, Figure 2). We selected mandelic acid and several oxazolidinones constructed from glycine, valine, phenylglycine, phenylalanine and *cis*-1-amino-indanol. We covalently linked the homochiral MTS ligands to cysteine mutants of SBL to create sets of diastereomeric chemically modified mutants (CMMs) allowing the

observation of enzyme activity changes due solely to differences in the chiral environment at one site. This methodology acts as a very fine and precise probe of enzymatic catalysis, since any differences between diastereomeric enzymes are solely attributable to the spatial orientation of the ligand.

5 Enantiomerically pure MTS ligands, **1a - i**, (Figure 2) were synthesized and used to chemically modify the N62C, S156C, S166C and L217C mutants of SBL. These residues were targeted on the basis of SBL's x-ray crystal structure (X-ray structure solved by Rick Bott at Genencor International Inc. Brookhaven data base entry 1JEA of SBL). N62C is in the S<sub>2</sub> pocket near His-64 (nomenclature according to Schechter and Berger  
10 (1967) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 27: 157-162). S156C and S166C are at the bottom of the S<sub>1</sub> pocket. However, S156C is surface exposed and S166C is buried pointing into the pocket. L217C is found in S<sub>1</sub>' which is where the leaving group is bound. A kinetic assay of amidase and esterase activity was conducted on these new diastereomeric CMMs in order to investigate their properties and to probe any changes in selectivity.

## 15 Results

### Synthesis of MTS reagents 1a - i

For the synthesis of the mandelate based MTS ligands, (*R*)-mandelic acid, (*R*)-**2**, was O-methylated with Me<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (Reeve and Christoffel (1950) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 72: 1480-1483) in NaOH / H<sub>2</sub>O to give (*R*)-**3** in 37% yield (Scheme 2). The acid, (*R*)-**3**, was  
20 reduced in 72% yield with borane in THF to alcohol, (*R*)-**6**, which was converted quantitatively to mesylate, (*R*)-**8**, in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The mesylate was converted to bromide, (*R*)-**10** (73%), by the action of LiBr in refluxing acetone, and methanethiosulfonate, (*R*)-**1a**, was formed in 84% yield from bromide, (*R*)-**10**, using NaSSO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> in DMF. The methanethiosulfonate (*S*)-**1a** was made in an analogous fashion from (*S*)-mandelic acid (*see*  
25 Scheme 2, Figure 3).

A similar approach allowed the synthesis of (*R*)-**1b** (Scheme 2). (*R*)-mandelic acid, (*R*)-**2**, was esterified to give (*R*)-**4** which was protected as its methoxymethoxy ether, (*R*)-**5**, in excellent yield (90% for 2 steps). The ester, (*R*)-**5**, was reduced with LiBH<sub>4</sub> to the alcohol, (*R*)-**7** (98%), which was converted to the mesylate, (*R*)-**9**, and then to the bromide,  
30 (*R*)-**11** (80% for 2 steps), using the same conditions as for the methyl ether analogue. This bromide was reacted with NaSSO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> in DMF for 2 days to give (*R*)-**12** in 61% yield. The

alcohol was deprotected by the action of TFA / H<sub>2</sub>O to give the MTS reagent, (R)-1b, in 82% yield. The methanethiosulfonate (S)-1b was made in an analogous fashion from (S)-mandelic acid.

The synthesis of oxazolidinone-based methanethiosulfonate ligands is shown in Scheme 3 (Figure 4). Oxazolidinones have been widely used as chiral auxiliaries in asymmetric synthesis, and the degree of asymmetric induction can be excellent in chemical transformations ranging from alkylations to aldol reactions to Diels-Alder additions (Gage and Evans (1990) *Org. Synth.*, 68: 77-91; Ager *et al.* (1997) *Aldrichimica Acta*, 30: 3-12). The commercially available oxazolidinones, 13 - (R)-16, were alkylated with 1,3-dibromopropane or 1,2-dibromoethane in DMSO / KOH (Isele and Luttringhaus (1971) *Synthesis*, 266-268) to give the bromides, 17 - (R)-22, and converted to the methanethiosulfonates, 1c - (R)-1h, in 38 - 61% yield over 2 steps. The MTS reagents (S)-1d - (S)-1h were made in an identical manner from the (S) oxazolidinones.

The (1R, 2S) oxazolidinone, (R)-24, of *cis*-1R-amino-2S-indanol, (R)-23, was prepared in quantitative yield by the reaction of (R)-23, triphosgene and Et<sub>3</sub>N in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (Scheme 4, Figure 5) (Sibi *et al.* (1995) *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 36: 8961-8964). (R)-24 was then alkylated with 1,3-dibromopropane to make bromide, (R)-25, which was reacted with NaSSO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> to give (R)-1i (49% yield for 2 steps). MTS reagent (S)-1i was synthesized from *cis*-1S-amino-2R-indanol in the same manner.

## 20 Enzyme Kinetic Assay

Subtilisin mutants, produced as described above, were modified with the homochiral MTS reagents. Characterization of the new CMMs was done by PMSF titration (Hsia *et al.* (1996) *Anal. Biochem.*, 242: 221-227) of their active sites, Ellman's titration (Ellman *et al.* (1961) *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 7: 88-95) of residual thiol ( $\leq 2\%$  in all cases), ES-MS after FPLC purification (mol. wt.  $\pm 6$  mass units in all cases), and by nondenaturing gradients gels which all showed one band.

Amidase and esterase kinetic assays were conducted on these new diastereomeric CMMs. Both assays were run using a low substrate concentration in order to obtain a specificity constant ( $k_{cat} / K_M$ ) that gave us an idea of the performance of the CMMs and allowed us to compare diastereomeric enzymes. (At low substrate concentration, ( $k_{cat} / K_M$ ) =  $v_{initial} / [\text{Enzyme}][\text{Substrate}]$ ). The results are presented in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Kinetic Assay<sup>a</sup> of SBL CMMs.

enzyme	amidase assay		esterase assay	
	$k_{cat} / K_M$ (mM <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )		$k_{cat} / K_M$ (mM <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	
	(R)	(S)	(R)	(S)
WT <sup>b</sup>	209 ± 15		3560 ± 540	
N62C <sup>b</sup>	92 ± 7		4380 ± 655	
N62C-a	218 ± 9	226 ± 11	5156 ± 131	5483 ± 106
N62C-b	187 ± 10	220 ± 9	3571 ± 73	3054 ± 171
N62C-c	181 ± 6		9185 ± 407	
N62C-d	333 ± 13	284 ± 5	5440 ± 78	4098 ± 151
N62C-e	458 ± 13	308 ± 7	13868 ± 920	6564 ± 157
N62C-f	245 ± 3	150 ± 1	4995 ± 87	3261 ± 163
N62C-g	185 ± 4	244 ± 7	3635 ± 58	4120 ± 159
N62C-h	262 ± 5	335 ± 7	6149 ± 202	7591 ± 209
N62C-i	165 ± 3	228 ± 6	4675 ± 143	3279 ± 135
S166C <sup>b</sup>	84 ± 4		350 ± 41	
S166C-a	72 ± 2	26 ± 1	1677 ± 16	1246 ± 48
S166C-b	48 ± 2	15 ± 1	1061 ± 18	929 ± 27
S166C-c	75 ± 1		4898 ± 196	
S166C-d	75 ± 1	76 ± 1	4215 ± 157	4475 ± 196
S166C-e	101 ± 3	64 ± 2	4076 ± 111	3964 ± 90
S166C-f	22 ± 1	52 ± 1	1495 ± 134	3277 ± 134
S166C-g	104 ± 2	37 ± 1	4281 ± 96	4069 ± 165
S166C-h	35 ± 1	80 ± 2	2150 ± 107	5446 ± 211
S166C-i	20 ± 1	47 ± 1	1488 ± 54	4556 ± 170
L217C <sup>b</sup>	51 ± 4		5540 ± 798	
L217C-a	204 ± 5	144 ± 4	10140 ± 231	8075 ± 144
L217C-b	175 ± 3	227 ± 6	9147 ± 167	8714 ± 324
L217C-c	85 ± 1		5917 ± 200	
L217C-d	105 ± 3	104 ± 2	8315 ± 171	9296 ± 665
L217C-e	120 ± 4	184 ± 3	8015 ± 413	6696 ± 255
L217C-f	73 ± 2	79 ± 2	6435 ± 169	5128 ± 163
L217C-i	118 ± 4	171 ± 7	7914 ± 272	7321 ± 330
S156C <sup>b</sup>	147 ± 8		- <sup>c</sup>	
S156C-a	102 ± 2	98 ± 1	2468 ± 45	1928 ± 59
S156C-b	85 ± 3	90 ± 2	2284 ± 81	2528 ± 68
S156C-e	88 ± 2	92 ± 4	1796 ± 63	2179 ± 38

<sup>a</sup> The amidase assay was done at 0.05 and 0.1 mM *N*-Suc-AAPF-pNA in 0.1 M Tris at pH 8.6, and the esterase assay was conducted at 0.015 and 0.03 mM *N*-Suc-AAPF-SBn in 0.1 M Tris at pH 8.6. Assay errors are the mean standard errors from sets of six replicates.

<sup>b</sup>  $k_{cat} / K_M$  obtained by full kinetic run of 8 substrate concentrations and calculation of independent  $k_{cat}$  and  $K_M$  values. Errors were obtained from the curve-fitting errors in  $k_{cat}$  and  $K_M$ .

<sup>c</sup> Determination of esterase  $k_{cat} / K_M$  for S156C was impossible due to rapid reaction between the mutant and Ellman's reagent.

### Discussion

5 Chiral auxiliaries are employed in asymmetric organic synthesis to block one diastereotopic face of a molecule thus forcing the reaction to the other face which results in the formation of solely one diastereomer. The covalent coupling of enantiomerically pure (R) and (S) chiral auxiliary MTS ligands to SBL cysteine mutants has caused remarkable changes in enzyme activity. We can attribute these changes uniquely to the difference in  
10 spatial orientation at the ligand stereocenter when comparing diastereomeric enzymes. The extraordinary differences in catalytic activity between diastereomeric enzymes can be compared in Figures 6A, 6B, and 6C.

### N62C

Of the N62C CMMs, the N62C-e set of diastereomeric CMMs is remarkable  
15 for displaying both high catalytic activity and a large difference between diastereomers. N62C-(R)-e is both an excellent amidase (2.2 fold better than WT) and an excellent esterase (3.9 fold better than WT). In addition, the (S)-diastereomer is a good amidase ( $308 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and esterase ( $6564 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), but not as good as the (R)-diastereomer. Thus, there is a large difference between the two diastereomeric CMMs with respect to esterase performance ((R)  
20 is 2.1 fold better than (S)) and a moderate difference in amidase activity. At the same time, the achiral modified mutant (N62C-c) is only as good an amidase ( $181 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) as WT and a poorer esterase ( $9185 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) than N62C-(R)-e. These observations indicate that not only does the addition of a phenyl group at the 4 position of the oxazolidinone ring increase enzyme activity, but that the addition must be (R)-phenyl. Thus, the (R)-e modification at  
25 N62C is affecting the enzyme in a unique manner. Individual  $k_{cat}$  and  $K_M$  values were determined for the three enzymes, N62C-c and the N62C-e set, and these results are presented in Table 2 along with WT values for comparison. It is obvious that the kinetic assay using the low substrate approximation slightly underestimates the  $k_{cat} / K_M$  values, but the ratios of catalytic activity between diastereomeric enzymes remains approximately the  
30 same.

**Table 2.** Kinetic Parameters of WT and selected SBL CMMs<sup>a</sup>

Enzyme	amidase				esterase			
	$k_{cat}$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	$K_M$ (mM)	$k_{cat} / K_M$ (mM <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	$k_{cat} / K_M$ (mM <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) assay	$k_{cat}$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	$K_M$ (mM)	$k_{cat} / K_M$ (mM <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	$k_{cat} / K_M$ (mM <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) assay
WT	153 ± 4	0.73 ± 0.05	209 ± 15	-	1940 ± 180	0.54 ± 0.07	3560 ± 540	-
N62C-(R)-e	163 ± 2	0.26 ± 0.01	627 ± 26	458 ± 13	2894 ± 117	0.15 ± 0.02	19293 ± 2895	13868 ± 920
N62C-(S)-e	164 ± 2	0.41 ± 0.02	400 ± 20	308 ± 7	1106 ± 45	0.15 ± 0.02	7373 ± 1098	6564 ± 157
N62C-c	193 ± 3	0.63 ± 0.03	307 ± 16	181 ± 6	3447 ± 66	0.26 ± 0.01	13258 ± 710	9185 ± 407

<sup>a</sup> Notation as in Table 1.

Modification of N62C with (R)-1e, (S)-1e and 1c decreases  $K_M$  indicating better binding of the substrate, and in the case of amidase activity, it is this  $K_M$  effect that is the source of the increased  $k_{cat} / K_M$ , since these N62C CMMs have similar  $k_{cat}$  values to the WT. However, the changes in esterase activity for these enzymes are more complex. N62C-(R)-e and N62C-c show significantly higher  $k_{cat}$  and lower  $K_M$  values than WT giving overall 5.4 fold and 3.7 fold respectively better esterase activity than WT. The N62C-(S)-e CMM does not display these characteristics. While it does bind the substrate very well and achieve half its maximum turnover rate at low substrate concentration ( $K_M = 0.15$  mM), its  $k_{cat}$  (1106 s<sup>-1</sup>) is much lower than WT. Therefore, it appears that a 4R-phenyl substitution on the oxazolidinone improves overall catalytic performance by increasing  $k_{cat}$  and lowering  $K_M$ .

In an attempt to improve on these results, the ethyl linked phenyl and benzyl oxazolidinone N62C CMMs were prepared (N62C-g and N62C-h). Surprisingly, there was a reversal of which modification made the best enzyme. In the case of the propyl linked CMMs (N62C-e and N62C-f), the (R) modification was the best amidase and esterase for both phenyl and benzyl groups. However, the (S) modification was the best when these same groups were ethyl linked. This brings to mind the flipping of substrate preference for transesterification reactions catalyzed by WT from (S) to (R) and back to (S) for secondary alcohols, β-branched primary alcohols and γ-branched primary alcohols respectively (Lloyd *et al.* (1998) *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 9: 551-561). However, in the present situation, the substrate does not change. Rather, the ability of the enzyme to convert substrate to product is altered depending upon the stereocentre of the covalently linked ligand as well as the number of bonds present in the link between the enzyme backbone and the stereocentre.

**S166C**

Modifications at S166C produced many sets of diastereomeric CMMs with large differences in activity. Primarily, the **1a**, **1b**, **1f**, **1g**, **1h** and **1i** modifications produced CMMs with greater than 2 fold variances between diastereomeric CMMs. The largest  
 5 difference of any set of CMMs was achieved with S166C-**b** which has a  $[k_{cat} / K_M (R)] / [k_{cat} / K_M (S)]$  ratio of 3.2. Notably, the modifications with the phenyl and benzyl oxazolidinones at S166C reverse which diastereomeric CMM has greater catalytic activity in a way similar to the same modifications at N62C. However, at S166C the reversal is caused by the  
 10 addition of a methylene unit directly to the stereocentre of the oxazolidinone ligand. The (*R*)-phenyl oxazolidinone modifications ((*R*)-**e** and (*R*)-**g**) produce S166C CMMs that are better than the (*S*) analogs, but the (*S*)-benzyl oxazolidinones ((*S*)-**f** and (*S*)-**h**) give significantly better S166C CMMs than the (*R*).

Though none of these CMMs showed significantly greater than WT activity, S166C-(*S*)-**g** and S166C-(*S*)-**i** are good esterases ( $4069 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $4556 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$   
 15 respectively) and have high esterase / amidase ratios of 110 and 97 making them good candidates as peptide ligation catalysts (Figure 7A). S166C-(*S*)-**a** and S166C-(*S*)-**b** have relatively high esterase / amidase ratios (48 and 62) compared to S166C (4) and WT, but these two CMMs are very poor esterases. Interestingly, for chiral modifications at S166C, the (*S*)-ligand consistently gives a CMM with a higher esterase to amidase ratio than the (*R*)-  
 20 ligand, except in the case of the **1f** where the two diastereomeric enzymes have similar ratios.

**L217C**

The chiral modifications at L217C produced many CMMs that could be used as peptide ligation catalysts due to their high esterase / amidase ratio (Fig. 7B). L217C-(*S*)-**d**  
 25 has a very high esterase  $k_{cat} / K_M$  ( $9296 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and a low amidase value ( $104 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) giving it a relatively high esterase / amidase ratio of 89. L217C-(*R*)-**f** has a similar ratio of 88 and a good esterase  $k_{cat} / K_M$  ( $6435 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ). While it is true that the L217C has the highest ratio in the group (109), this is mitigated by its lower esterase  $k_{cat} / K_M$  ( $5540 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ). Therefore, these CMMs should catalyze very efficiently the formation of peptide bonds  
 30 from an ester acyl donor and amine nucleophile. No large differences were observed between diastereomeric CMMs.

**S156C**

Modification of S156C by **1a**, **1b** and **1e** revealed no enzymes with either high activity or large difference between diastereomers. This is not surprising, because the S156C side chain is surface exposed, so it is probable that the ligand modifier points out of the pocket or is not closely associated with the pocket. For this reason, the kinds of subtle variations expected due to spatial orientation were not found at S156C. As a result, no further modifications were made of this mutant.

**Conclusion**

It has been found that the modification of cysteine mutants of SBL with enantiomerically pure MTS ligands effects considerable changes in enzyme activity. Amidase and esterase kinetic assays using a low substrate approximation, found up to 3 fold differences in activity between diastereomeric enzymes. N62C-(*R*)-**e** was particularly remarkable. It's amidase  $k_{cat} / K_M$  was 1.56 fold better than it's diastereomer, N62C-(*S*)-**e**, and 3 fold better than WT. Also, the esterase  $k_{cat} / K_M$  of N62C-(*R*)-**e** was 2.6 fold better than it's diastereomer and 5.4 fold better than WT. Changing the length of the carbon chain linking the phenyl or benzyl oxazolidinone ligand to N62C by a methylene unit reverses which diastereomeric enzyme is more active. In a similar fashion, changing from a phenyl to benzyl oxazolidinone ligand at S166C reverses which diastereomeric enzyme is more active. Work is in progress investigating the peptide ligation and transesterification capabilities of the CMMs discussed in this paper. In addition, the attachment of enantiomerically pure ligands containing charged groups to SBL mutants is being pursued.

**Experimental**

The N62C, L217C, S166C, and S156C mutants of subtilisin *Bacillus lentus* were prepared and purified by the general method (Stabile *et al.* (1996) *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 6: 2501-2506). Spectrophotometric measurements were made on a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 2 spectrophotometer.

Melting Points were determined using an Electrothermal IA9000 series Digital Melting Point Apparatus, and are uncorrected. Optical Rotation data were obtained using a Perkin Elmer 243B polarimeter. Compounds were identified by their  $^1\text{H}$  (200 MHz) and  $^{13}\text{C}$  (50.3 MHz) NMR spectra, run using a Varian Gemini NMR spectrometer, and HRMS data were acquired using a Micromass 70-250S (double focussing) mass



spectrometer for EI spectra and a Micromass ZAB-SE for FAB spectra. Enantiomeric excesses of methanethiosulfonates ((*R*)-1a, (*S*)-1a, (*R*)-1b and (*S*)-1b) were determined by HPLC on a Chiralcel OJ column using a hexane : isopropanol eluent system. Enantiomeric excesses (ee) of bromides ((*R*)-18, (*S*)-18, (*R*)-19, (*S*)-19, (*R*)-20, (*S*)-20, (*R*)-21, (*S*)-21, (*R*)-22, (*S*)-22, (*R*)-25 and (*S*)-25) were determined by HPLC on a Chiralcel OD column using the same eluent system.

### Preparation of Methanethiosulfonate Reagents

#### (*R*)-2-methoxy-2-phenyl-ethylmethanethiosulfonate ((*R*)-1a)

(*R*)-mandelic acid (4.678 g, 30.75 mmol) was dissolved in 6M NaOH (50 mL, 300 mmol) and dimethyl sulfate (14.6 mL, 154 mmol) was added over 1 hr so that the temperature stayed at 50°C. After another hr of stirring, H<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL) was added, and the solution was acidified to pH 1 with 12M HCl. The mixture was saturated with NaCl, extracted with EtOAc (3 x 100 mL), and the extracts dried with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After filtration and evaporation under reduced pressure, the solid was pulverized, refluxed in hexanes (100 mL) for 15 min and hot filtered. The insoluble (*R*)-mandelic acid (2.71 g, 58%) was recovered, and the hexanes evaporated under reduced pressure to give (*R*)-2-methoxy-mandelic acid, (*R*)-3 (1.91 g, 37%) which was used directly in the next step.

(*R*)-3 (1.91 g, 11.46 mmol), was placed under Ar and dry THF (15 mL) was added. The resulting solution was cooled to 0°C and 1M BH<sub>3</sub>·THF (17.2 mL, 17.2 mmol) was added over 1 min. The ice bath was removed, and the reaction was allowed to warm to 20°C. After stirring overnight, the reaction mixture was poured into a stirred mixture of EtOAc (200 mL) / saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (100 mL). The aqueous layer was saturated with NaCl and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 150 mL). The combined EtOAc fractions were dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. Flash Chromatography was conducted using a step gradient (25% EtOAc / 75% hexanes to 33% EtOAc / 67% hexanes) to give (*R*)-2-methoxy-2-phenyl-1-ethanol, (*R*)-6 (1.26 g, 72%), as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -114.6$  (*c* 1.27, EtOH) [Aller *et al.* (1995) *J. Org. Chem.*, 60: 4449-4460,  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -117.3$  (*c* 1.006, EtOH)]; IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to the literature (Barrett and Rys (1995) *Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*: 1009-1017).

(*R*)-6 (1.25 g, 8.213 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (2.29 mL, 16.43 mmol) were dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) under Ar and cooled to 0°C. MsCl (0.95 mL, 12.27 mmol) was added

over 1 min, and stirred for 10 min. The ice bath was removed, and the solution was stirred overnight. The reaction was poured into EtOAc (200 mL) / saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (100 mL), stirred and saturated with NaCl. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 150 mL), and the combined organic fractions were dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After filtration and

5 evaporation under reduced pressure, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography using 50% EtOAc / 50% hexanes to give (*R*)-2-methoxy-2-phenyl-1-ethylmethanesulfonate, (*R*)-**8**, quantitatively (1.88 g) as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -97.4$  (*c* 1.36, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.30 - 7.40 (5H, m), 4.47 - 4.52 (1H, m), 4.20 - 4.36 (2H, m), 3.30 (3H, s), 2.99 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 136.6, 128.8, 126.9, 81.5, 72.7, 57.0, 37.6.

10 (*R*)-**8** (1.88 g, 8.160 mmol) and LiBr (3.54 g, 40.76 mmol) were refluxed in freshly distilled acetone (20 mL) for 20 hr under a CaCl<sub>2</sub> drying tube. After cooling and evaporation to dryness under reduced pressure, hexanes (30 mL) were added and the mixture filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure, and flash chromatography of the crude product was done using a step gradient (hexanes to 5% EtOAc / 95% hexanes) to

15 give (*R*)-2-methoxy-2-phenyl-1-ethyl bromide, (*R*)-**10**, (1.284 g, 73%), as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -71.6$  (*c* 1.26, MeOH) [Casey *et al.* (1969) *Am. Chem. Soc.*, 91: 2789-2790 for the (*S*) enantiomer  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +73$  (MeOH)]; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.31 - 7.40 (5H, m), 4.36 - 4.42 (1H, m), 3.45 - 3.60 (2H, m), 3.32 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 139.0, 128.6, 128.5, 126.7, 83.4, 57.2, 36.2; HRMS (EI) *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>11</sub>OBr, 213.9993; found, 213.9988.

20 (*R*)-**10** (1.28 g, 5.951 mmol) and sodium methanethiosulfonate (1.04g, 7.752 mmol) were dissolved in dry DMF (10 mL) under Ar and heated to 70°C. After stirring for 24 hr, the DMF was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was dissolved in EtOAc, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. flash

25 chromatography using a step gradient (5% EtOAc / 95% hexanes to 33% EtOAc / 67% hexanes) gave the title compound, (*R*)-**1a** (1.235 g, 84%, ee ≥ 98%), as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -90.4$  (*c* 0.94, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.31 - 7.39 (5H, m), 4.42 - 4.48 (1H, m), 3.41 - 3.46 (2H, m), 3.27 (3H, s), 3.24 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 139.0, 128.7, 128.5, 126.6, 82.3, 56.9, 50.3, 43.4; HRMS (FAB+) *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> + H, 247.0463; found, 247.0470.

**(S)-2-methoxy-2-phenyl-ethylmethanethiosulfonate ((S)-1a)**

(S)-3 was prepared in the same manner as the (R)-3. From (S)-mandelic acid (4.00 g, 26.29 mmol) was obtained (S)-1 (1.301 g, 30%).

(S)-6 was prepared in the same manner as the (R)-6. From (S)-3 (1.20 g, 7.221 mmol) was obtained (S)-6 (0.903 g, 82%). It's IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (R)-6.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +115.0$  (c 1.26, EtOH).

(S)-8 was prepared in the same manner as the (R)-8. From (S)-6 (0.883 g, 5.802 mmol) was obtained (S)-8 (1.33 g, 100%). It's <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (R)-8.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +95.0$  (c 1.70, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

(S)-10 was prepared in the same manner as (R)-10. From (S)-8 (1.33 g, 5.773 mmol) was obtained (S)-10 (1.02 g, 81%). It's <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (R)-10.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +72.4$  (c 1.15, MeOH).

(S)-1a was prepared in the same manner as (R)-1a. From (S)-10 (1.00 g, 4.649 mmol) was obtained (S)-1a (0.961 g, 84%, ee ≥ 98%). It's <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (R)-1a.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +93.8$  (c 1.002, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); HRMS (FAB+) *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> + H, 247.0463; found, 247.0474.

**(R)-2-hydroxy-2-phenyl-ethylmethanethiosulfonate ((R)-1b)**

(R)-Mandelic acid (2.568 g, 16.87 mmol) and 2,2-dimethoxypropane (5.1 mL, 41.48 mmol) were dissolved in MeOH (100 mL) and 12M HCl (100 mL) was added. The resulting solution was stirred for 20 hr under a CaCl<sub>2</sub> tube and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. EtOAc (100 mL) and saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (100 mL) were added, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 100 mL). The organic fractions were dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated under reduced pressure to give (R)-methyl mandelate, (R)-4, quantitatively (2.78 g) as a white solid which was of sufficient purity for the next step.

(R)-4 (1.695 g, 10.20 mmol) and Hunig's base (6.22 mL, 35.70 mmol) were dissolved in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 mL) at 0°C under Ar. MOM-Cl (2.32 mL, 30.55 mmol) was dripped into the solution over 1 min, and the reaction was stirred at 20°C for 16 hr. The solution was poured into a mixture of EtOAc (200 mL) / ice / 3M HCl (100 mL) and stirred for 5 min. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 150 mL), and the combined organic fractions were dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Flash Chromatography was performed using a step gradient (10% EtOAc / 90% hexanes to 25% EtOAc / 75% hexanes) to give (R)-2-

methyloxymethoxy methyl mandelate, (*R*)-**5** (1.935 g, 90%), as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -133.5$  (*c* 1.41,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); [Barrett and Rys (1995) *Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*: 1009-1017, for the (*S*) enantiomer  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +5.9$  (*c* 1.11,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); IR,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR data were identical to the literature (Barrett and Rys, *Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*: 1009-1017).

5 (*R*)-**5** (1.924 g, 9.152 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (50 mL) at  $0^\circ\text{C}$  under Ar, and  $\text{LiBH}_4$  (0.498 g, 22.87 mmol) was added. The reaction was stirred for 16 hr at  $20^\circ\text{C}$ , and then poured into a stirred mixture of EtOAc (200 mL) / saturated aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (150 mL). After the reaction had subsided, the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 200 mL), and the combined organic fractions were dried with  $\text{MgSO}_4$ . The crude product was  
 10 purified by flash chromatography using a step gradient (25% EtOAc / 75% hexanes to 33% EtOAc / 67% hexanes) to give (*R*)-2-methyloxymethoxy-2-phenyl-1-ethanol, (*R*)-**7** (1.63 g, 98%), as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -189.9$  (*c* 1.72,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); [I Ko and Eliel (1986) *J. Org. Chem.*, 51: 5353-5362 for the (*S*) enantiomer  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +196$  (*c* 2.67,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); IR,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR data were identical to the literature (Ko and Eliel (1986) *J. Org. Chem.*, 51,  
 15 5353-5362).

(*R*)-2-methyloxymethoxy-2-phenyl-1-ethylmethanesulfonate, (*R*)-**9**, was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-**8**. (*R*)-**7** (1.530 g, 8.396 mmol) was converted quantitatively to (*R*)-**9** (2.175 g).  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -141.6$  (*c* 1.10,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ );  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.35 (5H, s), 4.89 - 4.95 (1H, m), 4.56 - 4.65 (2H, AB q), 4.25 - 4.40 (2H, m), 3.36 (3H, s), 2.95  
 20 (3H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  136.6, 128.7, 127.1, 94.4, 75.5, 72.3, 55.6, 37.4.

(*R*)-2-methyloxymethoxy-2-phenyl-1-ethyl bromide, (*R*)-**11**, was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-**10**. (*R*)-**9** (2.035 g, 7.817 mmol) was converted to (*R*)-**11** (1.536 g, 80%).  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -130.9$  (*c* 1.29, MeOH);  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.35 (5H, s), 4.82 - 4.88 (1H, m), 4.57 - 4.66 (2H, AB q), 3.49 - 3.65 (2H, m), 3.43 (3H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  139.0, 128.6,  
 25 128.5, 126.9, 94.5, 77.7, 55.8, 36.2; HRMS (EI) *m/z*: calcd for  $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{13}\text{O}_2\text{Br}$ , 244.0099; found, 244.0091.

(*R*)-2-methyloxymethoxy-2-phenyl-1-ethylmethanethiosulfonate, (*R*)-**12**, was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-**1a**. (*R*)-**10** (1.458 g, 5.948 mmol) was converted to (*R*)-**12** (1.005 g, 61%).  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -149.6$  (*c* 2.23,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ );  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.36 (5H, s), 4.88 -  
 30 4.94 (1H, m), 4.56 (2H, s), 3.48 - 3.51 (2H, m), 3.40 (3H, s), 3.23 (3H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  139.0, 128.7, 128.6, 126.9, 94.3, 76.3, 55.9, 50.5, 43.4; HRMS (FAB+) *m/z*: calcd for  $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_4\text{S}_2 + \text{H}$ , 277.0569; found, 277.0600.

(*R*)-12 (0.864 g, 3.126 mmol) was suspended in H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) and trifluoroacetic acid (10 mL) was added at 0°C. The solution was stirred at 20°C for 40 hr, and the volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure to near dryness. H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) was added, and the suspension was evaporated to dryness. Finally, toluene (50 mL) was added, and the solution was evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography using a step gradient (25% EtOAc / 75% hexanes to 33% EtOAc / 67% hexanes) to give the title compound, (*R*)-1b (0.689 g, 95%, ee ≥ 98%), as white crystals. An analytical sample was recrystallized from ether / hexanes. mp 48.5-49.5°C;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -63.1$  (c 0.89, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (neat) 3470 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.38 (5H, s), 5.00 - 5.06 (1H, m), 3.44 - 3.49 (2H, m), 3.26 (3H, s), 2.60 (1H, br s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 141.5, 128.7, 128.5, 125.9, 73.0, 50.5, 44.8; HRMS (FAB+) *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> + H, 233.0307; found, 233.0326.

**(S)-2-hydroxy-2-phenyl-ethylmethanethiosulfonate ((S)-1b)**

(*S*)-4 was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-4. From (*S*)-mandelic acid (3.176 g, 20.87 mmol) was obtained crude (*S*)-4 (3.45 g, quantitative) which was used directly in the next step.

(*S*)-5 was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-5. From (*S*)-4 (3.45 g, 20.76 mmol) was obtained (*S*)-5 (3.014 g, 69%). It's <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (*R*)-5.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +131.6$  (c 1.74, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

(*S*)-7, was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-7. From (*S*)-5 (2.995 g, 14.25 mmol) was obtained (*S*)-7 (2.565 g, 99%) It's <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (*R*)-7.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +193.2$  (c 1.30, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

(*S*)-9 was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-9. From (*S*)-7 (2.467 g, 13.54 mmol) was obtained (*S*)-9 (3.486 g, 99%). It's <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (*R*)-9.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +135.5$  (c 1.40, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

(*S*)-11, was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-11. From (*S*)-9 (3.486 g, 13.39 mmol) was obtained (*S*)-11 (2.822 g, 86%). It's <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (*R*)-11.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +125.8$  (c 1.21, MeOH).

(*S*)-12 was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-12. From (*S*)-11 (0.863 g, 3.521 mmol) was obtained (*S*)-12 (0.541 g, 56%). It's <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (*R*)-12.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +153.4$  (c 2.43, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

The title compound, (*S*)-**1b**, was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-**1b**. From (*S*)-**12** (0.526 g, 1.903 mmol) was obtained (*S*)-**1b** (0.419 g, 95%, ee  $\geq$  98%), as white crystals which were recrystallized from ether / hexanes. It's  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR data were identical to (*R*)-**1b**. mp 47.0-48.0°C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} = +63.3$  (*c* 1.676,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); HRMS (FAB+) *m/z*: calcd for  $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_3\text{S}_2 + \text{H}$ , 233.0307; found,

***N*-(3'-methanethiosulfonatopropyl)-2-oxazolidinone (1c)**

To a cooled solution (15-20°C) of 1,3-dibromopropane (6.4 mL, 63.05 mmol) in dry DMSO (5 mL) was added ground KOH (0.920 g, 16.40 mmol). 2-Oxazolidinone (1.100 g, 12.63 mmol) was added in small amounts over 5 min, and the reaction was stirred for 4 hr at 20°C. The mixture was diluted with ether (100 mL) and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (20 mL), and the aqueous phase was extracted with ether (3 x 50 mL). After drying with  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , the crude product was purified by flash chromatography using a step gradient (25% EtOAc / 75% hexanes to 50% EtOAc / 50% hexanes) to give *N*-(3'-bromopropyl)-2-oxazolidinone, **17** (1.48 g, 56%). IR (neat)  $1747\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  4.30 (2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 3.57 (2H, t, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 3.33 - 3.43 (4H, q), 2.03 - 2.17 (2H, m);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  158.4, 61.7, 45.0, 43.0, 30.4, 29.9; HRMS (FAB+) *m/z*: calcd for  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_{10}\text{NO}_2\text{Br}$ , 207.9972; found, 207.9957.

The title compound, **1c**, was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-**1a**. **17** (1.316 g, 6.325 mmol) was converted to **1c** (1.013 g, 67%). It was recrystallized from EtOAc / ether. mp 36-37.5°C; IR (neat)  $1748\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  4.32 (2H, t, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 3.56 (2H, t, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 3.35 (2H, t, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 3.31 (3H, s), 3.14 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 1.96 - 2.10 (2H, m);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  158.5, 61.7, 50.4, 44.6, 42.9, 33.2, 27.6; HRMS (FAB+) *m/z*: calcd for  $\text{C}_7\text{H}_{13}\text{NO}_4\text{S}_2 + \text{H}$ , 240.0364; found, 240.0365.

***N*-(3'-methanethiosulfonatopropyl)-(R)-4-isopropyl-2-oxazolidinone ((R)-1d)**

*N*-(3'-bromopropyl)-(R)-4-isopropyl-2-oxazolidinone, (*R*)-**18**, was prepared in the same manner as **17**. From (*R*)-4-isopropyl-2-oxazolidinone (0.518 g, 4.011 mmol) was obtained (*R*)-**18** (0.626 g, 62%, ee  $\geq$  98%), as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} = -2.7$  (*c* 1.87,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); IR (neat)  $1748\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  4.20 (1H, t, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 4.04 (1H, dd, *J* = 9.0, 5.3), 3.69 - 3.77 (1H, m), 3.47 - 3.58 (1H, m), 3.40 (2H, t, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 3.06 - 3.20 (1H, m), 2.25 -

3290.007US1

1.99 (3H, m), 0.86 (6H, t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  158.3, 62.7, 59.6, 40.6, 30.2, 27.7, 17.5, 14.2; HRMS (FAB+)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{16}\text{NO}_2\text{Br}$ , 250.0441; found, 250.0419.

The title compound, (*R*)-**1d** was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-**1a**. (*R*)-**18** (0.530 g, 2.119 mmol) was converted to (*R*)-**1d** (0.492 g, 83%).  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -22.3$  ( $c$  1.37,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); IR (neat)  $1744\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  4.25 (1H, t,  $J = 9.0$  Hz), 4.07 (1H, dd,  $J = 9.0, 5.4$  Hz), 3.73 - 3.81 (1H, m), 3.50 - 3.65 (1H, m), 3.33 (3H, s), 3.07 - 3.21 (3H, m), 1.98 - 2.13 (3H, m), 0.90 (3H, d,  $J = 7.0$  Hz), 0.86 (3H, d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  158.6, 62.9, 59.2, 50.5, 40.5, 33.5, 27.9, 27.6, 17.6, 14.2; HRMS (FAB+)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{19}\text{NO}_4\text{S}_2 + \text{H}$ , 282.0834; found, 282.0842.

10 ***N*-(3'-methanethiosulfonatopropyl)-(S)-4-isopropyl-2-oxazolidinone ((S)-1d)**

(*S*)-**18** was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-**18**. From (*S*)-4-isopropyl-2-oxazolidinone (0.504 g, 3.902 mmol) was obtained (*S*)-**18** (0.558 g, 57%, ee  $\geq 98\%$ ). Its  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR data were identical to (*R*)-**18**.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +3.4$  ( $c$  3.42,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ).

15 The title compound, (*S*)-**1d**, was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-**1d**. From (*S*)-**18** (0.493 g, 1.971 mmol) was obtained (*S*)-**1d** (0.435 g, 78%). Its  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR data were identical to (*R*)-**1d**.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +23.2$  (2.27,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); HRMS (EI)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{19}\text{NO}_4\text{S}_2 + \text{H}$ , 282.0834; found, 282.0833.

20 ***N*-(3'-methanethiosulfonatopropyl)-(R)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone ((R)-1e)**

*N*-(3'-bromopropyl)-(R)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone, (*R*)-**19**, was prepared in the same manner as **17**. From (*R*)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone (0.322 g, 1.970 mmol) was obtained (*R*)-**19** (0.370 g, 66%, ee  $\geq 98\%$ ), as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -35.8$  ( $c$  3.10,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); IR (neat)  $1748\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.26 - 7.45 (5H, m), 4.79 (1H, dd,  $J = 8.8, 6.3$  Hz), 4.63 (1H, dd,  $J = 8.6, 8.6$  Hz), 4.15 (1H, dd,  $J = 8.6, 6.4$  Hz), 3.30 - 3.54 (3H, m), 2.89 - 3.03 (1H, m), 1.90 - 2.12 (2H, m);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  158.2, 137.7, 129.3, 129.2, 126.9, 69.8, 60.3, 41.1, 30.2, 29.9; HRMS (EI)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{14}\text{NO}_2\text{Br}$ , 283.0208; found, 283.0197.

25 The title compound, (*R*)-**1e**, was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-**1a**. (*R*)-**19** (0.346 g, 1.218 mmol) was converted to (*R*)-**1e** (0.344 g, 89%).  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -70.5$  ( $c$  0.84,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); IR (neat)  $1746\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.26 - 7.43 (5H, m), 4.81 (1H, dd,  $J = 8.8$ ,

30

3290.007US1

6.6 Hz), 4.65 (1H, dd,  $J = 8.6, 8.6$  Hz), 4.16 (1H, dd,  $J = 8.6, 6.6$  Hz), 3.40 - 3.55 (1H, m), 3.29 (3H, s), 2.90 - 3.15 (3H, m), 1.82 - 1.97 (2H, m);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  158.4, 137.5, 129.4, 129.3, 127.1, 69.9, 60.0, 50.6, 41.0, 33.4, 27.5; HRMS (FAB+)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{17}\text{NO}_4\text{S}_2 + \text{H}$ , 316.0678; found, 316.0678.

5

***N*-(3'-methanethiosulfonatopropyl)-(S)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone**  
**((S)-1e)**

(S)-19 was prepared in the same manner as (R)-19. From (S)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone (0.964 g, 5.911 mmol) was obtained (S)-19 (0.955 g, 57%, ee  $\geq 98\%$ ). Its  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR data were identical to (R)-19.  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} = +33.3$  ( $c$  2.50,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ).

10

The title compound, (S)-1e, was prepared in the same manner as (R)-1e. From (S)-19 (0.870 g, 3.062 mmol) was obtained (S)-1e (0.814 g, 84%). Its  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR data were identical to (R)-1e.  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} = +68.8$  (1.21,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); HRMS (EI)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{17}\text{NO}_4\text{S}_2 + \text{H}$ , 316.0678; found, 316.0683.

15

***N*-(3'-methanethiosulfonatopropyl)-(R)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone**  
**((R)-1f)**

*N*-(3'-bromopropyl)-(R)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone, (R)-20, was prepared in the same manner as 17. From (R)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone (0.499 g, 2.816 mmol) was obtained (R)-20 (0.454 g, 54%, ee  $\geq 98\%$ ), as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} = -14.3$  ( $c$  2.06,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); IR (neat)  $1751\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.14 - 7.36 (5H, m), 3.96 - 4.21 (3H, m), 3.10 - 3.65 (5H, m), 2.61 - 2.72 (1H, m), 2.04 - 2.27 (2H, m);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  158.0, 135.2, 128.9, 128.8, 127.1, 66.7, 56.6, 40.8, 38.5, 30.5, 30.2; HRMS (FAB+)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{16}\text{NO}_2\text{Br}$ , 298.0441; found, 298.0416.

20

The title compound, (R)-1f, was prepared in the same manner as (R)-1a. (R)-20 (0.364 g, 1.221 mmol) was converted to (R)-1f (0.362 g, 90%).  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} = -31.7$  ( $c$  1.33,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); IR (neat)  $1745\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.14 - 7.34 (5H, m), 3.98 - 4.21 (3H, m), 3.48 - 3.61 (1H, m), 3.32 (3H, s), 3.04 - 3.30 (4H, m), 2.61 - 2.73 (1H, m), 1.98 - 2.11 (2H, m);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  158.2, 135.2, 128.9, 128.8, 127.1, 66.7, 56.1, 50.4, 40.7, 38.4, 33.3, 27.8; HRMS (FAB+)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{19}\text{NO}_4\text{S}_2 + \text{H}$ , 330.0834; found, 330.0834.

25



**N-(3'-methanethiosulfonatopropyl)-(S)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone**  
**((S)-1f)**

(S)-20 was prepared in the same manner as (R)-20. From (S)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone (0.504 g, 2.844 mmol) was obtained (S)-20 (0.558 g, 66%, ee  $\geq$  98%). Its  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR data were identical to (R)-20.  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} = +14.1$  (c 2.50,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ).

The title compound, (S)-1f, was prepared in the same manner as (R)-1f. From (S)-20 (0.449 g, 1.506 mmol) was obtained (S)-1f (0.458 g, 92%). Its  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR data were identical to (R)-1f.  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} = +29.9$  (1.19,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); HRMS (EI)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{19}\text{NO}_4\text{S}_2 + \text{H}$ , 330.0834; found, 330.0844.

**N-(2'-methanethiosulfonatoethyl)-(R)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone**  
**((R)-1g)**

*N*-(3'-bromoethyl)-(R)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone, (R)-21, was prepared in the same manner as 17, except 10 eq of 1,2-dibromoethane and 3 eq of KOH were used. From (R)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone (0.261 g, 1.599 mmol) was obtained (R)-21 (0.387 g, 90%, ee  $\geq$  98%), as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} = -54.1$  (c 1.80,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); IR (neat)  $1749\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.26 - 7.46(5H, m), 4.98 (1H, dd,  $J = 8.8, 6.6\text{ Hz}$ ), 4.67 (1H, dd,  $J = 8.8, 8.8\text{ Hz}$ ), 4.16 (1H, dd,  $J = 8.8, 6.6\text{ Hz}$ ), 3.75 - 3.87 (1H, m), 3.42 - 3.53 (1H, m), 3.12 - 3.36(2H, m);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  158.0, 137.4, 129.4, 129.3, 127.0, 70.0, 60.4, 43.8, 28.6; HRMS (EI)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{12}\text{NO}_2\text{Br}$ , 269.0051; found, 269.0055.

The title compound, (R)-1g, was prepared in the same manner as (R)-1a. (R)-21 (0.392 g, 1.462 mmol) was converted to (R)-1g (0.320 g, 73%).  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} = -28.8$  (c 1.32,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); IR (neat)  $1749\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.29 - 7.43 (5H, m), 4.88 (1H, dd,  $J = 8.9, 6.6\text{ Hz}$ ), 4.67 (1H, dd,  $J = 8.8, 8.8\text{ Hz}$ ), 4.18 (1H, dd,  $J = 8.8, 6.5\text{ Hz}$ ), 3.59 - 3.76 (1H, m), 3.28 (3H, s), 3.10 - 3.26 (3H, m);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  158.1, 137.3, 129.4, 129.3, 127.1, 69.9, 60.3, 50.7, 41.8, 33.6; HRMS (EI)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{15}\text{NO}_4\text{S}_2 + \text{H}$ , 302.0521; found, 302.0529.

**N-(2'-methanethiosulfonatoethyl)-(S)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone**  
**((S)-1g)**

(S)-21, was prepared in the same manner as (R)-21. From (S)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone (0.381 g, 2.335 mmol) was obtained (S)-21 (0.564 g, 89%, ee ≥ 98%). Its <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (R)-21. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = +54.6 (c 1.85, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

The title compound, (S)-1g, was prepared in the same manner as (R)-1g. From (S)-21 (0.532 g, 1.969 mmol) was obtained (S)-1g (0.450 g, 76%). Its <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (R)-1g. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = +27.8 (1.30, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); HRMS (EI) *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub> + H, 302.0521; found, 302.0534.

**N-(2'-methanethiosulfonatoethyl)-(R)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone**  
**((R)-1h)**

N-(3'-bromoethyl)-(R)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone, (R)-22, was prepared in the same manner as 17, except 10 eq of 1,2-dibromoethane and 3 eq of KOH were used. From (R)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone (0.386 g, 2.178 mmol) was obtained (R)-22 (0.372 g, 60%, ee ≥ 98%), as a colorless oil. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = -16.7 (c 1.35, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (neat) 1748 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.12 - 7.40(5H, m), 3.81 - 4.30 (4H, m), 3.38 - 3.63 (3H, m), 3.11 - 3.20(1H, m), 2.66 - 2.76 (1H, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 157.8, 135.2, 129.0, 127.3, 67.1, 56.9, 44.1, 38.7, 29.1; HRMS (EI) *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Br, 284.0286; found, 284.0281.

The title compound, (R)-1h, was prepared in the same manner as (R)-1a. (R)-22 (0.334 g, 1.175 mmol) was converted to (R)-1h (0.363 g, 98%). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = +4.5 (c 1.10, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (neat) 1748 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.15 - 7.39(5H, m), 4.02 - 4.29(3H, m), 3.72 - 3.89(1H, m), 3.14 - 3.58(4H, m), 3.38 (3H, s), 2.65 - 2.75 (1H, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 158.1, 135.2, 129.0, 127.3, 67.2, 57.0, 50.7, 42.0, 38.7, 33.9; HRMS (EI) *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub> + H, 316.0677; found, 316.0683.

**N-(2'-methanethiosulfonatoethyl)-(S)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone**  
**((S)-1h)**

(S)-22 was prepared in the same manner as (R)-22. From (S)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone (0.371 g, 2.094 mmol) was obtained (S)-22 (0.375 g, 63%, ee ≥ 98%). Its <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (R)-22. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = +15.6 (c 1.55, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

The title compound, (*S*)-**1h**, was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-**1h**. From (*S*)-**22** (0.328 g, 1.154 mmol) was obtained (*S*)-**1h** (0.245 g, 67%). Its <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (*R*)-**1h**.  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} = -5.8$  (*c* 1.20, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); HRMS (EI) *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub> + H, 316.0677; found, 316.0664.

5

***N*-(3'-methanethiosulfonatopropyl)-(3*aR*-*cis*)-3,3*a*,8,8*a*-tetrahydro-2*H*-indeno[1,2-*d*]-oxazol-2-one ((*R*)-**1i**)**

(1*R*, 2*S*)-*cis*-1-amino-2-indanol (0.980 g, 6.569 mmol) was placed in a round-bottomed flask and a dry Ar atmosphere was established. Dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.9 mL, 13.63 mmol) were added, and the resulting solution was cooled to -60°C. On addition of triphosgene (0.64 g, 2.157 mmol), the cooling bath was removed, and the reaction was allowed to warm to 20°C over one hour. The reaction was then poured into CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (100 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL) and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 x 100 mL). After drying with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, the organic layer was evaporated under reduced pressure to give (3*aR*-*cis*)-3,3*a*,8,8*a*-tetrahydro-2*H*-indeno[1,2-*d*]-oxazol-2-one, (*R*)-**24** (1.15 g, quantitative) as white crystals, which was of sufficient purity for the next step in the reaction sequence. An analytical sample was recrystallized from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> / hexanes. mp 205.5 - 206.5°C; [Ghosh *et al.* (1992) *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* 1673-1674 for enantiomer mp 205°C];  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} = +107.7$  (*c* 1.25, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); [*Id.* for enantiomer  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} = -79.4$  (*c* 1.4, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)]. IR (KBr) 3255, 1752, 1707 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.24 - 7.43(4H, m), 5.39 (1H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 5.21 (1H, d, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 3.42 (1H, dd, *J* = 17.7, 6.2 Hz), 3.20 (1H, d, *J* = 17.9 Hz), 2.90 (1H, br s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 159.1, 142.5, 141.0, 129.7, 128.3, 126.2, 125.8, 80.8, 61.7, 39.3; HRMS (FAB+) *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>9</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> + H, 176.0771; found, 176.0681.

*N*-(3'-bromopropyl)-(3*aR*-*cis*)-3,3*a*,8,8*a*-tetrahydro-2*H*-indeno[1,2-*d*]-oxazol-2-one, (*R*)-**25**, was prepared in the same manner as **17**. From (*R*)-**24** (1.007 g, 5.748 mmol) was obtained (*R*)-**25** (1.11 g, 65%, ee ≥ 98%), as a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} = +31.3$  (*c* 1.61, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (neat) 1748 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.24 - 7.45(4H, m), 5.31 (1H, dt, *J* = 7.4, 3.1 Hz), 5.14 (1H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 3.23 - 3.70(6H, m), 2.12 - 2.34(2H, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 157.1, 140.5, 138.0, 129.8, 127.4, 125.8, 125.1, 77.1, 64.1, 41.0, 39.3, 30.4, 30.1; HRMS (FAB+) *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Br, 296.0285; found, 296.0254.

30

The title compound, (*R*)-**1i**, was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-**1a**. (*R*)-**25** (0.925 g, 3.123 mmol) was converted to (*R*)-**1i** (0.882 g, 86%). It was recrystallized from

3290.007US1

EtOAc / hexanes. mp 94.0 - 95.0 °C;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +17.7$  (*c* 1.28, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (KBr) 1729 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.26 - 7.38(4H, m), 5.32 (1H, dt, *J* = 7.4, 3.0 Hz), 5.14 (1H, d, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 3.36 - 3.69(4H, m), 3.32 (3H, s), 3.14 - 3.22(2H, m), 2.10 - 2.23 (2H, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 157.2, 140.6, 137.9, 129.7, 127.4, 125.8, 125.0, 77.2, 63.7, 50.4, 40.9, 39.2, 33.4, 27.5; HRMS (FAB+) *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub> + H, 328.0677; found, 328.0683.

***N*-(3'-methanethiosulfonatopropyl)-(3*aS*-*cis*)-3,3*a*,8,8*a*-tetrahydro-2*H*-indeno[1,2-*d*]-oxazol-2-one ((*S*)-1*i*)**

(*S*)-24 was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-24. From (1*S*, 2*R*)-*cis*-1-amino-2-indanol (1.09 g, 7.306 mmol) was obtained (*S*)-24 (1.27 g, quantitative). Its <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (*R*)-24. mp 205.0 - 207.0 °C;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -109.7$  (*c* 1.30, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

(*S*)-25 was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-25. From (*S*)-24 (1.023 g, 5.839 mmol) was obtained (*S*)-25 (0.940 g, 54%, ee ≥ 98%). Its <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (*R*)-25.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -30.5$  (*c* 1.82, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

The title compound, (*S*)-1*i*, was prepared in the same manner as (*R*)-1*i*. From (*S*)-25 (0.840 g, 2.836 mmol) was obtained (*S*)-1*i* (0.838 g, 90%). It was recrystallized from EtOAc / hexanes. Its <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were identical to (*R*)-1*i*. mp 94.0 - 95.0 °C;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -18.7$  (*c* 1.38, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); HRMS (EI) *m/z*: calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub> + H, 328.0677; found, 328.0694.

**Site-Specific Chemical Modification**

To 1.25 mL of a SBL mutant stored in MES buffer (10 mM MES, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, pH 5.8) was added 0.75 mL CHES buffer (70 mM CHES, 5 mM MES, 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, pH 9.5) at 20 °C and one of the methanethiosulfonate reagents (100 μL of a 0.5 M solution in CH<sub>3</sub>CN) in a PEG (10,000) coated polypropylene test tube, and the mixture agitated in an end-over-end rotator. After 30 min, all modification reactions were negative to the Ellman's test indicating the absence of free thiol. In order to ensure complete reaction, a further 100 μL of methanethiosulfonate solution was added and the reaction was continued for another 30 min. The reaction solution was purified on a disposable desalting column (Pharmacia Biotech PD-10, Sephadex G-25 M) pre-equilibrated with MES buffer (5 mM MES, 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, pH 6.5). The CMM was eluted with MES-buffer (5.0 mL), dialyzed (MWCO 12-

3290.007US1

- 14,000) against MES buffer (10mM MES, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, pH 5.8) then flash frozen and stored at -20°C. Modified enzymes were analyzed by nondenaturing gradient (8-25%) gels at pH 4.2, run towards the cathode on the Pharmacia Phast-Systemä, (Pharmacia Application File No. 300) and appeared as one single band. Each of the CMMs was analyzed in parallel with its parent cysteine mutant and the WT enzyme.

### Enzyme Characterization

- Prior to ES-MS analysis, CMMs were purified by FPLC (BioRad, Biologic System) on a Source 15-RPC matrix (17-0727-20 from Pharmacia) with 5% acetonitrile, 0.01% TFA as the running buffer and eluted with 80% acetonitrile, 0.01% TFA in a one step gradient. Electrospray mass spectra were recorded on a PE SCIEX API III Biomolecular Mass Analyzer.

**Table 3.** Electro-spray Mass Spectra of CMMs<sup>a</sup>

Enzyme	Calculated Mass		Found Mass	
	(R)	(S)	(R)	(S)
N62C-a	26853	26853	26855	26854
N62C-b	26839	26839	26841	26838
N62C-c		26846		26850
N62C-d	26888	26888	26889	26889
N62C-e	26922	26922	26921	26921
N62C-f	26936	26936	26939	26939
N62C-g	26908	26908	26910	26907
N62C-h	26922	26922	26924	26924
N62C-i	26934	26934	26937	26936
S166C-a	26880	26880	26881	26886
S166C-b	26866	26866	26862	26872
S166C-c		26873		26877
S166C-d	26915	26915	26915	26916
S166C-e	26949	26949	26950	26951
S166C-f	26963	26963	26964	26963
S166C-g	26935	26935	26937	26934
S166C-h	26949	26949	26951	26949
S166C-i	26961	26961	26964	26964
L217C-a	26854	26854	26850	26850
L217C-b	26840	26840	26842	26840
L217C-c		26847		26847
L217C-d	26889	26889	26892	26892
L217C-e	26923	26923	26922	26923
L217C-f	26937	26937	26938	26940

3290.007US1

L217C-i	26935	26935	26937	26937
S156C-a	26880	26880	26883	26883
S156C-b	26866	26866	26866	26868
S156C-e	26949	26949	26949	26949

<sup>a</sup> mol. wt.  $\pm$  6 mass units in all cases

The free thiol content of N62C, L217C, S166C, S156C and their CMMs, was determined spectrophotometrically by titration with Ellman's reagent ( $\epsilon_{412} = 13600 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) (Ellman *et al.*, (1961) *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 7: 88-95) phosphate buffer 0.25 M, pH 8.0.

5 The active enzyme concentration was determined as previously described (Hsia *et al.* (1996) *Anal. Biochem.* 242: 221-227) by monitoring fluoride release upon enzyme reaction with  $\alpha$ -toluenesulfonyl fluoride (Aldrich Chemical Co. Inc.) as measured by a fluoride ion sensitive electrode (Orion Research 96-09). The active enzyme concentration determined in this way was used to calculate kinetic parameters for each CMM.

#### 10 Kinetic Measurements

Specificity constants determined using the low substrate approximation were measured at 0.05 and 0.1 mM *N*-Suc-AAPF-*p*NA at 25°C in 0.1 M Tris containing 0.005% Tween 80 and 1% DMSO at pH 8.6 for amidase activity ( $\epsilon_{410} = 8800 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), and at 0.015 and 0.03 mM *N*-Suc-AAPF-SBn at 25°C in 0.1 M Tris containing 0.005% Tween 80 and 1% 15 37.5 mM DTNB in DMSO at pH 8.6 for esterase activity ( $\epsilon_{412} = 13600 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). A general run consisted of equilibrating six plastic cuvettes containing 980  $\mu\text{L}$  of 0.1 M Tris, 0.005% Tween 80 at pH 8.6 to 25°C. The substrate (10  $\mu\text{L}$ ) in DMSO was added and the cuvette was shaken twice before returning it to the machine for zeroing. Immediately, the enzyme (10  $\mu\text{L}$ ) in 20 mM MES, 1 mM  $\text{CaCl}_2$  at pH 5.8 was added and the cuvette was returned to the 20 machine with a eight sec delay. The initial rate data was recorded and used to calculate  $k_{cat} / K_M$ . Esterase data was adjusted to account for background hydrolysis of the substrate.

Michaelis-Menten constants were measured at 25 °C by curve fitting (GraFit<sup>®</sup> 3.03) of the initial rate data determined at eight concentrations (0.05 mM–3.0mM) of the *N*-Suc-AAPF-*p*NA substrate for amidase activity and eight concentrations (0.015 mM–2.0mM) 25 of the *N*-Suc-AAPF-SBn substrate for esterase activity.

**Example 2: Chemically modified mutants of subtilisin *Bacillus lentus* catalyze transesterification reactions better than wild type.**

In this example, a combined site-directed mutagenesis and chemical modification strategy was used to create superior enzyme catalysts for the resolution of racemic primary and secondary alcohols using a transesterification reaction. The chemically modified mutant N62C-S-CH<sub>3</sub> of subtilisin *Bacillus lentus* catalyze the transesterification of *N*-acetyl-L-phenylalanine vinyl ester with  $\beta$ -branched primary alcohols faster than wild type. The cysteine mutant, M222C of subtilisin *Bacillus lentus* gave higher yields (90% and 92% yields with 1-phenylethanol and 2-octanol respectively versus 19% and 10% for wild-type) and better enantioselectivity than wild-type when secondary alcohols were used.

Hydrolase-catalyzed transesterifications are widely employed to resolve racemic alcohols and to stereoselectively acylate prochiral and meso diols (Faber (1996) *Biotransformations in Organic Chemistry*, 3rd Ed., Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg). In this regard, serine proteases have found limited application in comparison to lipases and esterases (*Id.*). One reason for this is the high substrate specificity of many serine proteases compared to other hydrolases (Faber *supra.*, Sears and Wong (1996) *Biotechnol. Prog.*, 12: 423-433). Recently, in an effort to extend the synthetic potential of the serine protease subtilisin *Bacillus lentus* (SBL), we reported the use of *N*-Ac-L-Phe vinyl ester, **2** (Fig. 8), as an acyl donor SBL-catalyzed transesterification reactions with racemic alcohols (Lloyd *et al.* (1998) *Tetrahedron Asymmetry*, 9: 551-561). This example illustrates the potential for improving the overall chemical yield and degree of stereoselectivity for these resolutions using a combined site directed mutagenesis and chemical modification strategy to alter the substrate specificity of SBL.

Cysteine mutants of SBL and chemically modified mutants (CMMs) were prepared and characterized as described above and in Berglund *et al.* (1996) *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 6: 2507-2512) and the best esterases among them were selected for comparative evaluation (Plettner *et al.* (1998) *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 8: 2291-2296). Three CMMs (L217C-S-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, N62C-S-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, N62C-S-CH<sub>3</sub>) and two mutant enzymes (L217C and M222C) were each embedded in a KCl matrix (Khmelnitsky *et al.* (1994) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 116: 2647-2648) and used to catalyze transesterification reactions in *tert*-BuOH between the acyl donor, **2**, and racemic primary and secondary alcohols, **1** Fig. 8, as previously described Lloyd *et al.* (1998) *Tetrahedron Asymmetry*, 9: 551-561). Two primary

alcohols (2-phenyl-1-propanol and 2-methyl-1-pentanol) and 1 secondary alcohol (2-octanol) were used as representative nucleophiles for the study. The results are given in Table 4.

L217C ante L217C-S-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> CMM catalyzed the reaction with two primary alcohols in similar yields and de's to wild-type (WT), but only L217C gave as good a yield as WT using 2-octanol as nucleophile. M222C gave lower yields for all 3 alcohols. N62C-S-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> gave a higher yield of product than WT when 2-phenyl-1-propanol was the nucleophile. For the reaction with 2-methyl-1-pentanol, using N52-C-S-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> as catalyst gave a significant improvement in the de's of the product ester (41%) over WT (26%de). Only one CMM catalyst, N62C-S-CH<sub>3</sub>, gave marked increases in product yield for the two primary alcohols (97% for 2-phenyl-1-propanol and 79% for 2-methyl-1-pentanol). No changes in stereochemical preferences from WT were observed for any of the CMMs.

**Table 4.** Yields and d.e. values of **3** from mutant and CMM-catalyzed reactions in *t*-BuOH at 50°C.

Enzyme	2-phenyl-1 propanol			2-methyl-1-pentanol			2-octanol		
	% yield	% de	Abs. Conf.	% yield	% de	Abs. Conf.	% yield	% de	Abs. Conf.
WT <sup>3</sup>	53	30	<i>R</i>	58	26	<i>R</i>	20	>99	<i>S</i>
M222C	20	29	<i>R</i>	18	21	<i>R</i>	9	>99	<i>S</i>
L217C	59	22	<i>R</i>	50	12	<i>R</i>	19	>99	<i>S</i>
L217C-S-(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -SO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	49	30	<i>R</i>	29	17	<i>R</i>	<5	-	<i>S</i>
N62C-S-(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -SO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	65	32	<i>R</i>	59	41	<i>R</i>	8	>99	<i>S</i>
N62C-CH <sub>3</sub>	97	24	<i>R</i>	79	34	<i>R</i>	16	>99	<i>S</i>

Conditions: All reactions used 10 equiv. of alcohol, **1**, and the acyl donor, **2**, in *t*-BuOH at 50°C for 24 hr (primary alcohols) or for 72 hours (secondary alcohols) as previously described (Lloyd *et al.* (1998) *Tetrahedron Asymmetry*, 9: 551-561). All yields and de's (HPLC on Chiralcel OD using a hexane:isopropanol eluent) are of purified product, **3**, which was identified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

The nature of the solvent and temperature have been known to influence enantioselectivity (Lam *et al.* (1986) *J. Org. Chem.*, 51:2047-2050, Holmberg and Hult (1991) *Biotechnol. Lett.*, 13: 323-326), and the effects of these parameters on the N62C-S-CH<sub>3</sub> catalyzed transesterifications was considered next. In this study, CH<sub>3</sub>CN was selected as the illustrative solvent since the relatively slow rates in *tert*-BuOH, even at 50°C,



precluded the probing of low temperature effects. We included M22C in this part of our study, because it has been found that the M222A mutant of subtilisin BPN' allowed a faster initial reaction of sterically hindered amine nucleophiles with ester acyl donors (Sears *et al.* (1994) *J. AM. Chem. Soc.*, 116: 6521-6530). The results are shown in Table 5.

5

**Table 5.** Yields and d.e. values of **3** for reactions carried out in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at 4°C.

Enzyme	2-phenyl-1-propanol		2-methyl-1-pentanol		2-phenyl-1-propanol		2-octanol	
	% yield % de	Abs. Conf.	% yield % de	Abs. Conf.	% yield % de	Abs. Conf.	% yield % de	Abs. Conf.
WT	99, 37 (48 hr) <sup>3</sup>	<i>R</i>	91, 4 (24 hr) <sup>3</sup>	<i>R</i>	19, 84 (50 hr)	<i>S</i>	10, 88 (50 hr)	<i>S</i>
M222C	71, 24 (24 hr)	<i>R</i>	94, 9 (16 hr)	<i>R</i>	98, 93 (44 hr)	<i>S</i>	92, 95 (44 hr)	<i>S</i>
N62C-S-CH <sub>3</sub>	94, 45 (16 hr)	<i>R</i>	95, 12 (7 hr)	<i>R</i>	40, 80 (50 hr)	<i>S</i>	50, 97 (72 hr)	<i>S</i>

Conditions: All reactions used 10 equiv. of alcohol, **1**, and the acyl donor, **2**, in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at 4°C as described in Lloyd *et al.* (1998) *Tetrahedron Asymmetry*, 9: 551-561. All yields and de's (HPLC on Chiralcell OD using a hexane:isopropanol eluent) are of purified product, **3**, which was identified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (*Id.*).

10

In CH<sub>3</sub>CN at 4°C, M222C and N62-C-S-CH<sub>3</sub> performed better than WT.

Both enzymes catalyzed the transesterification of primary and secondary alcohols faster than WT and with de's that were comparable to WT. Remarkably, they gave much higher yield of product ester than WT when the sterically hindered secondary alcohols were used as nucleophiles.

15

M222C gave almost quantitative yield product ester with 1-phenylethanol and an excellent yield (92%) of ester with 2-octanol. M222C improved the de of product ester to above 90% for both secondary alcohols and N62C-S-CH<sub>3</sub> gave product ester in 97% de for 2-octanol.

20

From these results, both N62C-S-CH<sub>3</sub> and M222C were seen to be better transesterification catalysts than WT. The reasons for this appear to be different. N62C-S-CH<sub>3</sub> catalyzed the transesterification of primary alcohols with **2** in higher yield and in shorter time than M222C, but the reverse was true for secondary alcohols where M222C efficiently

coupled 1-phenylethanol and 2-octanol with **2** in 98% and 92% yields respectively. Without being bound to a particular theory, we have proposed that WT gives lower yields with secondary alcohols because branching at the  $\alpha$ -carbon of the alcohol is poorly tolerated by the S<sub>1</sub>' pocket (nomenclature according to Schechter and Berger (1967) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 27: 1570-162) of SBL. Residue 222 of SBL is at the boundary between the S<sub>1</sub>- and S<sub>1</sub>'-pockets, a region in close proximity to a location where the nucleophile would approach the acyl-enzyme intermediate in order to deacylate the enzyme and complete the catalytic cycle. Therefore, it is reasonable to expect that if methionine is replaced by the smaller cysteine at position 222, a larger space in this critical region would permit more sterically hindered nucleophiles to react with the acyl-enzyme intermediate. This is exactly what was observed for M222C catalyzed reactions of secondary alcohols. In contrast, residue 623 of SBL is in the S<sub>2</sub> pocket, and therefore it is unlikely that any mutation or modification at this residue would significantly influence the S<sub>1</sub>' pocket. Nevertheless, N62C-S-CH<sub>3</sub> gave considerably higher yields than WT with secondary alcohols. Furthermore, this CMM catalyzed the transesterification of primary alcohols much faster than either WT or M222C. It is probable that N62C-S-CH<sub>3</sub> catalyzed transesterification faster than M222C or WT because of a higher turnover rate (Plettner *et al.* (198) *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 8: 2291-2296), but that in the case of secondary alcohols, the improved catalytic efficiency could not entirely overcome the negative steric hindrance factors.

In conclusion, the future potential of the CMM approach is evident from the fact that both N62C-S-CH<sub>3</sub> and M222C are superior transesterification catalysts to WT, with N62C-S-CH<sub>3</sub> giving higher yields in a shorter reaction time in transesterification reactions than WT when primary alcohols are used with **2** as acyl donor. Furthermore, M222C itself has been found to be an excellent catalyst for the transesterification of secondary alcohols.

It is understood that the examples and embodiments described herein are for illustrative purposes only and that various modifications or changes in light thereof will be suggested to persons skilled in the art and are to be included within the spirit and purview of this application and scope of the appended claims. All publications, patents, and patent applications cited herein are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety for all purposes.